

VILLAGE OF BARRINGTON HILLS

Zoning Board of Appeals NOTICE OF MEETING



Monday, October 19, 2015 ~ 7:30 pm
MacArthur Room - 112 Algonquin Road

AGENDA

1. Call to Order & Roll Call
2. Public Comments
3. [Vote] [Minutes September 21, 2015](#)

PUBLIC HEARING

4. [Continued 300 Donlea Road - Special Use Artificial Lake](#)

PUBLIC MEETING

5. [Vote] 300 Donlea Road - Special Use Artificial Lake
6. [Vote] [2 Barrington Hills Road - Appeal Building Restoration](#)
7. Adjournment

Chairman: Dan Wolfgram

NOTICE AS POSTED

**VILLAGE OF BARRINGTON HILLS
ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
MacArthur Room – Village Hall
Monday, September 21, 2015**

- 1. Call to Order/Roll Call:** The Meeting was called to Order at 7:30 p.m. by Chairman Dan Wolfgram. On roll call, the following members were present:

Dan Wolfgram, Chairman
Debra Buettner
Richard Chambers
Jan Goss
Jim Root
David Stieper

Absent: Patrick J. Hennelly

Staff Present: Robert Kosin, Village Administrator
Mary E. Dickson, Legal Counsel

- 2. Public Comments**

There was no public comment.

- 3. Minutes**

August 17, 2015

Member Stieper moved, seconded by Member Chambers to approve the minutes of August 17, 2015, with a correction to a typographical error on page 2. On a voice vote, all Members voted “aye.” No nays. The Motion Carries.

- 4. Public Hearing/Meeting – 300 Donlea Road**

Chairman Wolfgram announced a change in the agenda, to move the Public Hearing on 300 Donlea Road to the first order of business.

300 Donlea Road – Special Use Artificial Lake

Chairman Wolfgram opened the public hearing. Administrator Kosin testified to the notice of hearing having been provided. Administrator Kosin also informed that Board that at present the Village’s engineer has requested additional information from the Applicant such that this matter should be continued to October to allow full review of the application.

David Morris, attorney for the Applicant, also testified, agreeing with Administrator Kosin that the Applicant has requested a delay to provide the response to the Village Engineer.

Member Chambers moved, seconded by Member Stieper, to continue the Public Hearing to the October meeting.

Roll Call: Chairman Wolfgram, Members Buettner, Chambers, Goss, Root and Stieper voting “aye.” The Motion Carries.

5. Public Hearing/Meeting 385 Spring Creek Road - - Special Use Artificial Lake

Chairman Wolfgram opened the public hearing.

Administrator Kosin testified relative to background of the application, and the procedural notice requirements having been followed. Applicant Gary DiCicco testified in support of the Application, stating he and his wife had acquired the property and were informed that there was an open permit for the construction of the artificial lake on the property. The Application was submitted to allow the completion of the artificial lake, and removal of two existing 6” pipes which are no longer necessary for use as part of the planned lake. Village Engineer Dan Strahan testified relative to the Application and the engineering provided. Testifying in support of the Application were Dana DuBos of Land Technology, Inc., and Dan Krill of DK Environmental Services, a wetland specialist. Mr. DiCicco completed the presentation providing testimony relative to the factual standards for allowance of the special use pursuant to the Zoning Code.

There were no questions or comments forthcoming from any member of the public. Various questions were asked by members of the Zoning Board of Appeals, relative specifically to the impact on water flow which might be created by planned construction, and the Village engineer’s recommendation relative to either having a neighboring property owner be a co-applicant, or having the Applicant secure a temporary construction easement from the neighboring property owner to allow access to the property to change existing grade of the pond.

The public hearing was closed.

Member Stieper moved, seconded by Member Goss, to recommend approval of the Applicant’s request for a special use permit for the artificial lake, the Applicant having presented facts in support of a special use permit, with the condition that the Applicant secure a temporary construction easement from the neighboring property owner to allow access for required grading, such easement to be approved by the Village Engineer.

Roll Call: Chairman Wolfgram, Members Buettner, Chambers, Goss, Root and Stieper voting “aye.” The Motion Carries.

6. Public Hearing/Meeting 780 Plum Tree Road - - Special Use Artificial Lake

Chairman Wolfgram opened the hearing bringing the Board’s attention to an objection made to the hearing based on lack of legal notice to residents within 250 feet of the Applicant property. Resident Sharon Meroni informed the Board she had filed a document containing a number of objections to the Application, containing the signatures of number of additional residents. Among the objections lodged was one which raised the question of whether proper legal notice had been given of the public hearing such that it could be held. This notice complaint was predicated on the fact that within the 250 foot notice area of the Applicant’s property was property owned by two members of the SanFilippo Family. The Village’s Zoning Rules of Notice and Petition provide that the petitioner (*i.e.*, Applicant) must serve notice of hearing on owners of all contiguous or adjoining property within 250 feet of petitioner’s property, however if the “petitioner, directly or indirectly, owns or controls adjoining or contiguous property, notice shall be given to the owner of the next contiguous or adjoining property.” Ms. Meroni argued that

because the two SanFilippo family members were trustees of the trust which owned the Applicant's property, they should have been skipped over to provide notice to the next adjoining or contiguous property. The Applicant rebutted Ms. Meroni's testimony by calling the Applicant Trust's attorney who testified that the Trust had no control, direct or indirect, over either of the individual SanFilippo family member's property. Following discussion, the Board overruled the objection, and determined to go forward with the Public Hearing.

Andrew Kohl, attorney for the Applicant presented testimony in support of the Application for Special Use for modification to the Artificial Lake. At issue is a reconfiguration of the existing pond on site to accommodate an addition to the residence on the property. Also testifying in support of the Application were Dana DuBos of Land Technology, Inc., and Dan Krill of DK Environmental Services, a wetland specialist. Village Engineer Dan Strahan also testified relative to the engineering submitted, and the need to modify the pond.

Ms. Meroni testified in objection to the Application, raising questions over the notarization of the Application, the lack of final engineering plans, concerns relative to the Mionx Report relied on by the Applicant, lack of proper soil borings and notice to other agencies, among other objections.

Board members questioned staff and the Applicant's representatives relative to the objections voiced and presentation made.

The public hearing was closed.

Member Stieper moved, seconded by Member Goss, to recommend approval of the Applicant's request for a special use permit for the construction of a modification to an artificial lake on the subject property be approved, the Applicant having presented facts required for approval of a special use permit as set forth in Section 5-10-7.

Discussion was held relative to whether the non-completion of final engineering should bar the approval at this time. The Zoning Board could table the matter until all final engineering and approvals was received, or it could approve the matter at this time, subject to the receipt of additional required documents.

Members Stieper and Goss agreed to amend the Motion to recommend such approval on the condition that the Village's engineer approve final engineering plans submitted, and on the Village's receipt of Army Corps of Engineers base flood elevation, and Lake County approvals as needed.

Roll Call: Chairman Wolfgram, Members Buettner, Chambers, Goss, Root and Stieper voting "aye." One absent. The Motion Carries.

6. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn by Member Stieper, seconded by Member Root. On a voice vote, all members voting "aye." The meeting stands adjourned.

Approved: _____

Dated: _____

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Public Comments are submitted by the public and are not reviewed or endorsed by the Village.

Petition regarding ZBA's Public Hearing currently scheduled for September 21st, 2015

RE: the Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust

We, the undersigned, object to the holding of the ZBA's Public Hearing currently scheduled for September 21st, 2015 in the Notice of Public Hearing for Application for Special Use Permit for the Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust. Our reasons to seek and demand cancellation of this Public Hearing is that the Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust has failed to comply with the REQUIRED Rules of Notice and Petition. These failures to comply with ZBA Rules with our specific references and comments are enumerated below as numbers 1-9.

I. Relating to Rules of Notice and Petition: Cancellation of the September 21st Hearing

1. *"One Original and eight copies of the type written petition and all supplemental attachments (surveys, legals, plats, plans, etc) shall be provided when the petition is filed, at least 30 days before the hearing date."*
 - a. Letter by Daniel Strahan (Village Engineer) dated August 27, 2015 states the final plans have not been completed or received for his review.
 - b. Daniel Strahan reviewed an application which was not completed or signed by the property owners for the Lake County Watershed Development (LCWD) indicating that while the LCWD application was almost completed it was not submitted for review as required in Rule (7) b. The Rule 7 (b) must be completed before any application can be set for public hearing.
 - c. The petitioner fails to acknowledge in their petition that the change of the lake is to accommodate a Fragrance Gallery. This Fragrance Gallery is to be added to a larger business that is operating outside of village code for an R-1 Zone and the Petitioner has failed to file for a Special Use permit for this Fragrance Gallery. The Special Use petition for the Lake must be considered in light of the Fragrance Gallery and the related building permits and applications for the same Fragrance Gallery.
2. *"The Petition shall be signed by the legal owner(s)..."*
 - a. The Owner of Record and Beneficiaries of the 789 Plum Tree Road property is the Trust of *The Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust*. Jasper B. Sanfilippo, James J. Sanfilippo, Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, John E. Sanfilippo and Lisa Sanfilippo are beneficiaries and controllers of this trust.
 - b. The Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust: Jasper B. Sanfilippo and James J. Sanfilippo are the Co-Trustees and Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo is the designated spokesperson.
 - c. Jasper B. Sanfilippo and James J. Sanfilippo are the Co-Trustees and Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo are the parties who are responsible for this special use permit. Therefore, all have a direct and indirect interest in 789 Plum Tree Road.
3. *"The Petition Shall be Sworn To"*
 - a. The affidavit signed stating *"that all information contained within is true and correct to the best of our knowledge"* is signed and dated on 6-10-15 by Jasper B. Sanfilippo and James J. Sanfilippo, **however the signatures are affirmed by the Notary 2.5 months later on 8/20/15.**
 - b. This date discrepancy is an unexplained oddity especially considering three studies submitted in the report were not completed at the date the affidavit was signed: The Mionx Corporation study is dated June 16th; DK Environmental Services Study is dated July 20th 2015; and the TLI Survey is dated 8/17/15. **It is impossible the full application was reviewed by the signers of the petition on June 10, 2015.**
4. *"A plat of survey shall be provided detailing the improvements involved and where necessary, or in cases where they may be affected, detailing the improvements or uses of adjoining properties"*

The full engineering plans, or a completed plat of survey have not been presented as required by Rule 4.

 - a. The study that was conducted by Mionx Corporation is inappropriately included as an engineering study in the petition for the reasons stated below which are quoted from "Page 6 Introduction" of the study.
 - i. *"The ALL Appropriate Inquiry Phase One Environmental Site Assessment is exploratory in nature and is not meant to define problems as no samples were taken during the visit. The assessment was visual only and was restricted to only those items that were viewed and which were in plain sight."*

1. Moving a lake which impacts two Wetlands – at the very least – requires more than a visual inspection of the surface area of some of the property in question and should include assessment of elements below the surface of both the land and water.
- ii. *“Observations and recommendations are the results of practices and conditions observed, and information obtained by Mionx Corporation at the time of the site visit, and do not purport to imply or guarantee full compliance with Local, State or Federal regulations which may be applicable to such practices and conditions, nor do assessments, reports or recommendations signify or imply that other hazards do not exist.”*
 1. What is the value of this study in meeting the requirements for (4) if these basic risks and requirements are not included in the report? Potential risks and required laws are not accurately explored or reported on in the petition.
- iii. *“This report cannot be used for demolition, development, or remodeling purposes.”*
 1. The Petition involves all three actions: demolition, development, and remodeling.
- iv. *“This report is exclusively for the use and benefit of Mr. Jeffrey Sanfilippo, and is not for the use or benefit of, nor may be relied upon by any other person or entity, without the express written consent of Mionx Corporation”*
 1. The Petition fails to provide evidence that this consent was granted for this report to be included in the petition by the entity known as the Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust.
 2. This statement specifically forbids the use of this study for this petition.
- b. The Mionx Study (page 56) makes this incredible statement of fact: *“No previous Environmental Site Assessment or engineering reports relevant to Recognized Environmental Conditions were reported to Mionx Corporation regarding the property”*
 - i. This study was completed on June 16th and affirms no Environmental Site Assessment or engineering reports studies were made available to them. This affirms that the study is incomplete in its assessments.
- c. Page 60 which is part of the questionnaire filled out for the study states in question (12) *“If the property is served by a private well or non-public water system, have contaminants been identified in the well or system that exceed guidelines applicable to the water system, or has the well been designated as contaminated by any government environment, or health organization?”*
The Property Owner marks the answer as UNKNOWN. We believe that such a test should be conducted before any consideration of shifting water systems in the 2 wetlands on the property should be made.
5. *“Special Uses involving artificial lakes.... Shall require soil boring data, elevation and/or topographical surveys, and engineering studies addressing existing and changed conditions for drainage, topography, vegetation, etc.”*
 - a. According to TSC’s April 22, 2015 report written by Michael Machalinski, (page 1 and 2) **the soil boring study presented was done strictly for the Fragrance Gallery**. The boring map included in the report clearly illustrates that the area of the Lake was not tested.
 - i. The report states: *“The analysis and recommendations submitted in this report are based upon the data obtained from three (3) soil borings performed at the locations indicated on the Boring Location Plan. This report does not reflect any variations which may occur between these borings or elsewhere on the site, the nature and extent of which may not become evident until during the course of constructions”*
 1. Therefore the three boring samples submitted are not valid as the map shows ALL three locations to be specifically located under the gravel and clay foundation of the proposed Fragrance Gallery and not in the wetlands where the lake is situated.
 - b. The FEMA Flood Map included in the petition indicates that this property is in a “moderate or special flood zone”. The impact of moving the lake should be studied in light of this fact.

- c. The petitioner is required to file a petition that is viable to go forward on the date of the hearing. This is the SECOND time this petitioner has filed a fatally flawed petition. This is an extreme inconvenience to the neighborhood and the property owners who have contiguous properties.
- 8. "ZBA Shall Cause Publication..." – Why has the ZBA scheduled and posted this Public Hearing when their own rules that regulate and authorize such actions are not complied with?
- 9. "No Publication shall be made or hearing scheduled until the petitioner conforms to all the above rules and necessary filing fees is deposited with the Village Treasurer."
 - a. The filing fee was filed on August 25th. The letter from VLK Law was signed and dated August 21st – proving that the Hearing was improperly scheduled before the filing fee was paid.
 - b. The fees were paid and the petition filed on August 25th. This is 27 days before the scheduled hearing. Rule (1) mandates "at least 30 days" before the hearing date. **Rule 9 requires that filing fee and full petition to have been filed at least 30 days before the hearing.**

II. Violation of State and Local Laws and Breach of R-1 Zoning Regulations and Laws in Barrington Hills:

1. The Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust and the beneficiaries of this Trust are operating multiple business at 789 Plum Tree Road. We respectfully request the Village of Barrington Hills to immediately conduct a full analysis of the businesses activities at 789 Plum Tree Road and to require that the Sanfilippo Family and their multiple enterprises comply with all state and local laws as well as all laws and regulations in Barrington Hills for an R- 1 Zone.

Signed by

Name	Address	Comments	Date	Barrington Hills Resident or Contingent Property Owner
<i>[Signature]</i>	7 Jane Ln, Barrington Hills		09-16-15	Contingent
<i>[Signature]</i>	7 Jane Ln, Barrington Hills		09-16-15	Contingent
<i>[Signature]</i>	17 Surrey Ln, BH		9-17-15	"
<i>[Signature]</i>	17 Surrey Ln. B.H.		9-17-15	"
Joe Absouel	20 Surrey Ln	B.H.	9-17-15	B.H. Resident
<i>[Signature]</i>	20 Surrey Ln	P-A	9-17-15	B.H. Resident

- c. The petitioner is required to file a petition that is viable to go forward on the date of the hearing. This is the SECOND time this petitioner has filed a fatally flawed petition. This is an extreme inconvenience to the neighborhood and the property owners who have contiguous properties.
- 8. "ZBA Shall Cause Publication..." – Why has the ZBA scheduled and posted this Public Hearing when their own rules that regulate and authorize such actions are not complied with?
- 9. "No Publication shall be made or hearing scheduled until the petitioner conforms to all the above rules and necessary filing fees is deposited with the Village Treasurer."
 - a. The filing fee was filed on August 25th. The letter from VLK Law was signed and dated August 21st – proving that the Hearing was improperly scheduled before the filing fee was paid.
 - b. The fees were paid and the petition filed on August 25th. This is 27 days before the scheduled hearing. Rule (1) mandates "at least 30 days" before the hearing date. **Rule 9 requires that filing fee and full petition to have been filed at least 30 days before the hearing.**

II. Violation of State and Local Laws and Breach of R-1 Zoning Regulations and Laws in Barrington Hills:

1. The Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust and the beneficiaries of this Trust are operating multiple business at 789 Plum Tree Road. We respectfully request the Village of Barrington Hills to immediately conduct a full analysis of the business activities at 789 Plum Tree Road and to require that the Sanfilippo Family and their multiple enterprises comply with all state and local laws as well as all laws and regulations in Barrington Hills for an R- 1 Zone.

Signed by

Name	Address	Comments	Date	Barrington Hills Resident or Contingent Property Owner
Kathryn Annetti	155 WAGON WHEEL BARRINGTON HILLS		9/16/15	Resident
Dea C. Roberts	155 Wagon Wheel Barrington Hills		9/16/15	Resident
Robert Annetti	155 Wagon Wheel Bldg.		9/16/15	Resident
Margaret Snader	97 SURREY LN Barrington Hills IL.		9/16/15	Resident
John An	97 SURREY LN BARRINGTON HILLS		9/16/15	RESIDENT

- c. **The petitioner is required to file a petition that is viable to go forward on the date of the hearing.** This is the SECOND time this petitioner has filed a fatally flawed petition. This is an extreme inconvenience to the neighborhood and the property owners who have contiguous properties.
- 8. **"ZBA Shall Cause Publication..." – Why has the ZBA scheduled and posted this Public Hearing when their own rules that regulate and authorize such actions are not complied with?**
- 9. **"No Publication shall be made or hearing scheduled until the petitioner conforms to all the above rules and necessary filing fees is deposited with the Village Treasurer."**
 - a. The filing fee was filed on August 25th. The letter from VLK Law was signed and dated August 21st – proving that the Hearing was improperly scheduled before the filing fee was paid.
 - b. The fees were paid and the petition filed on August 25th. This is 27 days before the scheduled hearing. Rule (1) mandates "at least 30 days" before the hearing date. **Rule 9 requires that filing fee and full petition to have been filed at least 30 days before the hearing.**

II. Violation of State and Local Laws and Breach of R-1 Zoning Regulations and Laws in Barrington Hills:

1. The Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust and the beneficiaries of this Trust are operating multiple business at 789 Plum Tree Road. We respectfully request the Village of Barrington Hills to immediately conduct a full analysis of the businesses activities at 789 Plum Tree Road and to require that the Sanfilippo Family and their multiple enterprises comply with all state and local laws as well as all laws and regulations in Barrington Hills for an R- 1 Zone.

Signed by

Name	Address	Comments	Date	Barrington Hills Resident or <u>Contingent</u> Property Owner
<i>O Peucha</i>	750 Plum Tree		9/17/15	<u>Contingent</u>
<i>J. Peucha</i>	780 Plum Tree		9/17/15	✓

c. The petitioner is required to file a petition that is viable to go forward on the date of the hearing. This is the SECOND time this petitioner has filed a fatally flawed petition. This is an extreme inconvenience to the neighborhood and the property owners who have contiguous properties.

8. "ZBA Shall Cause Publication..." – Why has the ZBA scheduled and posted this Public Hearing when their own rules that regulate and authorize such actions are not complied with?

9. "No Publication shall be made or hearing scheduled until the petitioner conforms to all the above rules and necessary filing fees is deposited with the Village Treasurer."

a. The filing fee was filed on August 25th. The letter from VLK Law was signed and dated August 21st – proving that the Hearing was improperly scheduled before the filing fee was paid.

b. The fees were paid and the petition filed on August 25th. This is 27 days before the scheduled hearing. Rule (1) mandates "at least 30 days" before the hearing date. **Rule 9 requires that filing fee and full petition to have been filed at least 30 days before the hearing.**

Violation of State and Local Laws and Breach of R-1 Zoning Regulations and Laws in Barrington Hills:

1. The Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust and the beneficiaries of this Trust are operating multiple business at 789 Plum Tree Road. We respectfully request the Village of Barrington Hills to immediately conduct a full analysis of the businesses activities at 789 Plum Tree Road and to require that the Sanfilippo Family and their multiple enterprises comply with all state and local laws as well as all laws and regulations in Barrington Hills for an R- 1 Zone.

signed by

Name	Address	Comments	Date	Barrington Hills Resident or Contingent Property Owner
Carol Suchan	1000 One Suny Lane	Their Actions affect my property	9/17/15	

- c. The petitioner is required to file a petition that is viable to go forward on the date of the hearing. This is the SECOND time this petitioner has filed a fatally flawed petition. This is an extreme inconvenience to the neighborhood and the property owners who have contiguous properties.
8. "ZBA Shall Cause Publication..." – Why has the ZBA scheduled and posted this Public Hearing when their own rules that regulate and authorize such actions are not complied with?
9. "No Publication shall be made or hearing scheduled until the petitioner conforms to all the above rules and necessary filing fees is deposited with the Village Treasurer."
- The filing fee was filed on August 25th. The letter from VLK Law was signed and dated August 21st – proving that the Hearing was improperly scheduled before the filing fee was paid.
 - The fees were paid and the petition filed on August 25th. This is 27 days before the scheduled hearing. Rule (1) mandates "at least 30 days" before the hearing date. **Rule 9 requires that filing fee and full petition to have been filed at least 30 days before the hearing.**

Violation of State and Local Laws and Breach of R-1 Zoning Regulations and Laws in Barrington Hills:

1. The Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust and the beneficiaries of this Trust are operating multiple business at 789 Plum Tree Road. We respectfully request the Village of Barrington Hills to immediately conduct a full analysis of the business activities at 789 Plum Tree Road and to require that the Sanfilippo Family and their multiple enterprises comply with all state and local laws as well as all laws and regulations in Barrington Hills for an R-1 Zone.

signed by

Name	Address	Comments	Date	Barrington Hills Resident or Contingent Property Owner
Sharon Meroni	1 West Survey Lane	The problem of the noise, traffic, wet lands and the application not correctly filed or served on Contingent Properties. Also this must be considered along with the progressive Gallery which is not permitted use of a R-1 zone.	7-18-15	Contingent

- c. The petitioner is required to file a petition that is viable to go forward on the date of the hearing. This is the SECOND time this petitioner has filed a fatally flawed petition. This is an extreme inconvenience to the neighborhood and the property owners who have contiguous properties.
- 8. "ZBA Shall Cause Publication..." – Why has the ZBA scheduled and posted this Public Hearing when their own rules that regulate and authorize such actions are not complied with?
- 9. "No Publication shall be made or hearing scheduled until the petitioner conforms to all the above rules and necessary filing fees is deposited with the Village Treasurer."
 - a. The filing fee was filed on August 25th. The letter from VLK Law was signed and dated August 21st – proving that the Hearing was improperly scheduled before the filing fee was paid.
 - b. The fees were paid and the petition filed on August 25th. This is 27 days before the scheduled hearing. Rule (1) mandates "at least 30 days" before the hearing date. **Rule 9 requires that filing fee and full petition to have been filed at least 30 days before the hearing.**

I. Violation of State and Local Laws and Breach of R-1 Zoning Regulations and Laws in Barrington Hills:

1. The Sanfilippo Family 1999 Generation Skipping Trust and the beneficiaries of this Trust are operating multiple business at 789 Plum Tree Road. We respectfully request the Village of Barrington Hills to immediately conduct a full analysis of the business activities at 789 Plum Tree Road and to require that the Sanfilippo Family and their multiple enterprises comply with all state and local laws as well as all laws and regulations in Barrington Hills for an R- 1 Zone.

signed by

Name	Address	Comments	Date	Barrington Hills Resident or Contingent Property Owner
Krystal Koskinen	1 Surrey Lane	My room faces the street (Plum Tree) and is right across the way from the petitioners. Every time they hold a party, their inconsiderately loud music wakes me up or keeps me awake until 2am. Even with my windows closed I witness several cars turning around in the driveway whenever one of their business events begin, and 15 mins before the last one a car remained parked outside the house until I sat staring at them for 10 mins. We don't live behind fences or have fancy gates to keep intruders out. We moved here for the peace + quiet. Please stop letting them take the inherent qualities of Barrington Hills away from	9-21-15	

some of ~~the~~ the residents just because they have money. Enough is enough!!

US Army Corps of Engineers®

Chicago District

REGIONAL PERMIT PROGRAM, CHICAGO DISTRICT

Effective: April 1, 2012

Expiration: April 1, 2017

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 401 et seq.; 33 U.S.C. 1344

A. Introduction

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District (the District) hereby issues the Regional Permit Program (RPP) that includes a set of Regional Permits for activities with minimal individual and cumulative impacts on the aquatic environment in Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will Counties, Illinois (see Regional Permits). Please visit our website for a copy of the following: joint application form for Illinois (Protecting Illinois Waters); draft deed restriction; mitigation requirements and various other documents; and Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ's) regarding the RPP. The FAQ contains a comprehensive listing of frequently asked questions and answers that specifically pertain to the RPP. For instructions on submitting a complete application please reference the application checklist on the District website at:

<http://www.lrc.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory.aspx>.

The purpose of the RPP is to provide a simplified and expeditious means to review activities that meet the specified terms and conditions described herein.

Regional permits are a type of general permit, as defined in 33 CFR 322.2(f), 33 CFR 323.2(h) and 325.2(e) (2).

A regional permit may be issued by a District Commander for a category of activities that are substantially similar in nature and cause only minimal individual and cumulative environmental impacts.

B. Applicability

The RPP authorizes activities that involve structures or work in or affecting navigable waters of the United States (U.S.) under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 and/or discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the U.S. under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

For a list of waters that meet the definition of Section 10, please reference the District's

website for a listing of navigable waterways

www.lrc.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/NavigableWaters.aspx. Section 404 waters

are defined at and determined in accordance with 33 CFR 328-329 and 40 CFR 230.3.

C. Definitions

Definitions found at 33 CFR Parts 320-332 and 40 CFR Part 230 are applicable to the RPP and are incorporated by reference herein.

Agency Request for Comments (ARC) is the notice provided to Federal and State agencies which requests comments concerning a proposed “Category II” activity.

Applicant is the individual, organization or company requesting authorization under the RPP. Applicant shall be owner of the property in question as required in the joint application form.

Authorization is written verification by the District that an activity qualifies for, and may proceed under, the RPP provided the terms and conditions of the program are followed. Authorization under the RPP is valid for a period of three (3) years.

Best Management Practices (BMPs) are policies, practices, procedures or structures implemented to mitigate the direct and indirect degradation of surface water quality from an activity. BMPs include non-structural elements such as the preservation of existing natural areas and drainageways, and structural elements such as vegetated swales, filter strips and infiltration trenches which are designed to remove pollutants, reduce runoff rates and velocity and protect aquatic resources.

Buffer is a protective strip of land along the edge of waters of the U.S., including wetlands, that is maintained in native vegetation. Buffers protect shorelines and banks from erosion, provide wildlife habitat, filter pollutants from the water, and protect environmentally sensitive areas from potential effects of development.

Compensatory wetland mitigation is the creation, restoration, enhancement, or in exceptional circumstances, preservation of wetlands and/or other aquatic resources for the purpose of compensating for unavoidable impacts which remain after all appropriate and practicable avoidance and minimization has been achieved.

Complete application is all required notification materials submitted by the applicant to the District. If all materials are not submitted, the application is considered incomplete and cannot be processed under the RPP.

Conservation area is any national park or forest, natural heritage landmark, State nature preserve or conservation area, Illinois Natural Area Inventory site (including proposed sites), county forest preserve, or land managed by a local government or organization for conservation purposes.

Currently serviceable means that a structure or fill is useable as is, or with some maintenance, but not so degraded as to require reconstruction.

High-quality aquatic resources (HQARs) are aquatic areas considered to be regionally critical due to their uniqueness, scarcity, and/or value, and other wetlands considered to perform functions important to the public interest, as defined in 33 CFR Part 320.4(b)(2). These resources include Advanced Identification (ADID) sites, bogs, ephemeral pools, fens, forested wetlands, sedge meadows, wet meadows, seeps, streams rated A or B for Diversity or Integrity or mapped as Biologically Significant as described in the Integrating Multiple Taxa in a Biological Stream Rating System published by the Illinois Department of Natural Resources, wet prairies, wetlands supporting Federal or Illinois endangered or threatened species, and wetlands with a floristic quality index of 20 or greater or mean C value of 3.5 or greater. These areas are generally considered unsuitable for dredge or fill activities. Descriptions of high-quality aquatic resources are provided in APPENDIX A.

Impact is the direct and indirect loss of waters of the U.S., including wetlands, which results from implementation of a proposed activity. This includes waters of the U.S. that are adversely affected by filling, flooding, dredging, excavation, or drainage as a result of the activity.

Notification is the submission of materials by the applicant to the District.

Modification is the revision of terms or conditions of an authorization to ensure that an activity has minimal impacts on aquatic resources.

Permittee is the individual, organization or company authorized to complete an activity under the RPP.

Project area is the land, including waters of the U.S. and uplands, utilized for a single and complete project. The acreage is determined by the amount of land cleared, graded, and/or filled to construct the single and complete project, including all buildings, utilities, stormwater management facilities, roads, yards, and other attendant features. The project area also includes other land and attendant features that are used in conjunction with the single and complete project, such as open space, roads and utilities. Roads constructed by State or local governments for general public use are not included in the project area, unless road improvements are to be made as part of the development.

Revocation is the permanent cancellation of the authorization.

Section 10 Waters are "Navigable Waters of the United States". This is defined to include all those waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide, and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce (33 CFR Part 329.4). These waters are listed on our internet site at www.lrc.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/NavigableWaters.aspx and include: Calumet River, Calumet–Sag Channel, most of the Chicago River, Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal, Des Plaines River, Fox River and Chain O’ Lakes, Grand Calumet River, Illinois and Michigan Canal, Kankakee River, Lake Calumet, Lake Michigan, and Little Calumet River.

Single and complete project is the total project proposed or accomplished by one owner, developer, partnership, or agency within a project area.

Single-family residence is a parcel of land owned by an individual and used by that individual as his/her personal habitation.

Special conditions are conditions added by the District for projects on a case-by-case basis to ensure an activity has minimal impacts on aquatic resources and complies with the RPP.

Suspension is the temporary cancellation of the authorization while a decision is made to either modify, revoke or reinstate the authorization.

Terms and conditions are the parameters, including thresholds, limitations and requirements, for completing an activity under the RPP. These parameters are described in each Regional Permit and in Section I (General Conditions) of this document. Case-specific conditions (called “special conditions”) may also be added by the District on individual authorizations to ensure that an activity has minimal individual and cumulative impacts.

Utility line is any pipeline used to transport a gaseous, liquid, liquefiable or slurry substance for any purpose, and any cable, line or wire used to transmit electrical energy, telephone, radio signals, television signals or data communication. This definition does not include pipes or ditches which serve to drain a water of the United States, such as drainage tile; however, it does apply to pipes conveying drainage from another area.

Waters of the United States (WOUS) is an all encompassing term referring to lakes, rivers, streams, wetlands, and other aquatic resources that are regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and/or Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. A complete definition can be found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).

D. Permit Expiration

The Regional Permit Program (RPP) is valid for a period of five (5) years from the date of issuance (or reissuance). The District will periodically review the RPP and their conditions and will decide to modify, reissue, or revoke the permits with opportunity for public comment. If the RPP is not modified or reissued within five years of its effective date, it automatically expires and becomes null and void. Activities completed under the authorization of the RPP which was in effect at the time the activity was completed continue to be authorized by the RPP.

A verification letter from the District is valid for a period of three years unless the RPP is modified, suspended, or revoked. A verification letter will remain valid if the RPP authorization is reissued without modification or the activity complies with any subsequent modification of the RPP authorization.

E. Activity Categories

Activities to be covered under the RPP will fall under one of two categories:

Category I: Activities with minimal impacts requiring review by the District. Authorization may include special conditions to ensure compliance with the RPP. The District has the discretion to process a Category I activity under Category II when it has concerns for aquatic resources under the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines or for any factor of the public interest.

Category II: Activities with minimal impacts requiring more rigorous review by the District and coordination with resource agencies. Authorization may include special conditions to ensure compliance with the RPP.

Activities that do not fall into one of the above categories, by definition, have more than minimal impacts and are therefore subject to the Individual Permit review process.

F. Discretionary Authority

The District has the discretion to suspend, modify, or revoke authorizations under this RPP. This discretionary authority may be used by the District to further condition or restrict the applicability of the Regional Permits for cases when it has concerns for aquatic resources under the Clean Water Act Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines or for any factor of the public interest. Because of the nature of most Category I activities, the District anticipates that it will not exert discretionary authority, except in extraordinary cases. For Category II activities, the District will evaluate each proposed activity before issuing authorization. Should the District determine that a proposed activity may have more than minimal individual or cumulative adverse impacts to aquatic resources or otherwise be contrary to the public interest, the District will notify the applicant that the proposed activity is not authorized by the RPP and provide instructions on how to seek authorization under an Individual Permit. The District may restore authorization under the RPP at anytime it determines that the reason for asserting discretionary authority has been resolved or satisfied by a condition, project modification, or new information.

The District may also use its discretionary authority to modify, suspend, or revoke a Regional Permit for any specific geographic area, class of activities, or class of waters within the District's boundaries or individual authorizations when an activity is not in compliance with the RPP.

G. Authorization

Applicants seeking authorization under the RPP shall notify the District in accordance with the RPP General Condition 22, prior to commencing a proposed activity. If the District determines that an activity does not comply with the RPP, it will notify the applicant in writing within sixty (60) calendar days and provide instructions on the procedures to seek authorization under an Individual Permit. If the District determines that a proposed activity complies with the terms and conditions of the RPP, it will notify the applicant within 60 calendar days of receipt of a complete application. If the District determines that an unauthorized activity complies with the terms and conditions of the RPP, it will notify the applicant upon a determination that it is satisfied that the violation has been resolved.

If the District does not provide a written response to the applicant within 60 calendar days following receipt of a complete application, the applicant may presume the proposed activity qualifies for the requested Regional Permit(s), provided the activity complies with the terms and conditions of the RPP.

The District may add special conditions to an authorization to ensure that the activity complies with the terms and conditions of the RPP, and/or the adverse impacts on the aquatic environment or other aspects of the public interest are individually and cumulatively minimal.

Multiple Regional Permits may be combined to authorize a proposed single and complete project, except as indicated under specific Regional Permits. If multiple Regional Permits are used, the total impact may not exceed the maximum allowed by the Regional Permit with the greatest impact threshold. To use multiple Regional Permits, the applicant shall submit notification under General Condition 22 and indicate which Regional Permits are to be used for the project.

Any activity authorized by the District under the RPP shall be completed within three (3) years of the date it is authorized. The authorization date of a Regional Permit (RP) is the date the District confirms in writing that the activity meets the terms and conditions of the RPP. A request for a time extensions will be considered on a case by case basis by the District.

H. Unauthorized Activities

The District evaluates unauthorized activities for enforcement action under 33 CFR Part 326. After considering whether a violation was knowing or intentional, and consideration of the need for a penalty and/or restoration, the District can suspend enforcement proceedings and allow the submittal of an application for an after the fact authorization under the RPP. An after-the-fact application will not be accepted until signature of the "Tolling Agreement" has been received by the District. Any after the fact RPP authorization will be consistent with the Army/EPA Memorandum of Agreement on Enforcement.

I. General Conditions

The permittee shall comply with the terms and conditions of the Regional Permits and the following general conditions for all activities authorized under the RPP:

1. State 401 Water Quality Certification - Water quality certification under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act may be required from the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA). The District may consider water quality, among other factors, in determining whether to exercise discretionary authority and require an Individual Permit. Please note that Section 401 Water Quality Certification is a requirement for projects carried

out in accordance with Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Projects carried out in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 do not require Section 401 Water Quality Certification

On March 2, 2012, the IEPA granted Section 401 certification, with conditions, for all Regional Permits, except for activities in certain waterways noted under RPs 4 and 8. The following conditions of the certification are hereby made conditions of the RPP:

1. The applicant shall not cause:
 - a) a violation of applicable water quality standards of the Illinois Pollution Control Board Title 35, Subtitle C: Water Pollution Rules and Regulations;
 - b) water pollution defined and prohibited by the Illinois Environmental Protection Act;
 - c) interference with water use practices near public recreation areas or water supply intakes;
 - d) a violation of applicable provisions of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act.
2. The applicant shall provide adequate planning and supervision during the project construction period for implementing construction methods, processes and cleanup procedures necessary to prevent water pollution and control erosion.
3. Except as allowed under condition 9, any spoil material excavated, dredged or otherwise produced must not be returned to the waterway but must be deposited in a self-contained area in compliance with all State statutes, regulations and permit requirements with no discharge to waters of the State unless a permit has been issued by the Illinois EPA. Any backfilling must be done with clean material placed in a manner to prevent violation of applicable water quality standards.
4. All areas affected by construction shall be mulched and seeded as soon after construction as possible. The applicant shall undertake necessary measures and procedures to reduce erosion during construction. Interim measures to prevent soil erosion during construction shall be taken and may include the installation of sedimentation basins and temporary mulching. All construction within the waterway shall be conducted during zero or low flow conditions. The applicant shall be responsible for obtaining a NPDES Stormwater Permit prior to initiating construction if the construction activity associated with the project will result in the disturbance of (1) one or more acres, total land area. A NPDES Stormwater Permit may be obtained by submitting a properly completed Notice of Intent (NOI) form by certified mail to the Illinois EPA's Division of Water Pollution Control, Permit Section.
5. The applicant shall implement erosion control measures consistent with the Illinois Urban Manual (IEPA/USDA, NRCS; 2011, <http://www.aiswcd.org/illinois-urban-manual/>).
6. The applicant is advised that the following permits(s) must be obtained from the Illinois EPA: The applicant must obtain permits to construct sanitary sewers, water mains, and related facilities prior to construction.
7. Backfill used in the stream-crossing trench shall be predominantly sand or larger size material, with less than 20% passing a #230 U.S. sieve.
8. Any channel relocation shall be constructed under dry conditions and stabilized to prevent erosion prior to the diversion of flow.
9. Backfill used within trenches passing through surface waters of the State, except wetland areas, shall be clean course aggregate, gravel or other material which will not cause siltation, pipe damage during placement, or chemical corrosion in place. Excavated material may be used only if:

- a) particle size analysis is conducted and demonstrates the material to be at least 80% sand or larger size material, using #230 U.S. sieve; or
 - b) excavation and backfilling are done under dry conditions.
10. Backfill used within trenches passing through wetland areas shall consist of clean material which will not cause siltation, pipe damage during placement, or chemical corrosion in place. Excavated material shall be used to the extent practicable, with the upper six (6) to twelve (12) inches backfilled with the topsoil obtained during trench excavation.
 11. Any applicant proposing activities in a mined area or previously mined area shall provide to the IEPA a written determination regarding the sediment and materials used which are considered "acid-producing material" as defined in 35 Il. Adm. Code, Subtitle D. If considered "acid-producing material," the applicant shall obtain a permit to construct pursuant to 35 Il. Adm. Code 404.101.
 12. Asphalt, bituminous material and concrete with protruding material such as reinforcing bar or mesh shall not be 1) used for backfill, 2) placed on shorelines/stream banks, or 3) placed in waters of the State.
 13. Applicants that use site dewatering techniques in order to perform work in waterways for construction activities approved under Regional Permits 1 (Residential, Commercial and Institutional Developments), 2 (Recreation Projects), 3 (Transportation Projects), 7 (Temporary Construction Activities), 9 (Maintenance) or 12 (Bridge Scour Protection) shall maintain flow in the stream during such construction activity by utilizing dam and pumping, fluming, culverts or other such techniques.
 14. In addition to any action required of the Regional Permit 13 (Cleanup of Toxic and Hazardous Materials Projects) applicant with respect to the "Notification" General Condition 22, the applicant shall notify the Illinois EPA Bureau of Water, of the specific activity. This notification shall include information concerning the orders and approvals that have been or will be obtained from the Illinois EPA Bureau of Land (BOL) for all cleanup activities under BOL jurisdiction, or for which authorization or approval is sought from BOL for no further remediation. This Regional Permit is not valid for activities that do not require or will not receive authorization or approval from the BOL.

2. Threatened and Endangered Species - If the District determines that the activity may affect Federally listed species or critical habitat, the District will initiate section 7 consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) in accordance with the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). Applicants shall provide additional information that would enable the District to conclude that the proposed action will have no effect on federally listed species.

The application packet shall indicate whether resources (species, their suitable habitats, or critical habitat) listed or designated under the Act, may be present within areas affected (directly or indirectly) by the proposed project. Applicants shall provide a section 7 species list for the action area using the on-line process at the USFWS website. You can access "U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Endangered Species Program of the Upper Midwest" website at www.fws.gov/midwest/Endangered. Click on the section 7 Technical Assistance green shaded box in the lower right portion of the screen and follow the instructions to completion. Review all documentation pertaining to the species list, provide the rationale for your effects determination for each species, and send the information to this office for review.

If no species, their suitable habitats, or critical habitat are listed, then a "no effect" determination can be made, and section 7 consultation is not warranted. If species or critical habitat appear on the list or suitable habitat is present within the action area, then a biological assessment or biological evaluation will need to be completed to determine if the proposed action will have "no effect" or "may effect" the species or suitable habitat. The District will request initiation of section 7 consultation with the USFWS upon agreement with the applicant on the effect determinations in the biological assessment or biological evaluation.

If the issues are not resolved, the analysis of the situation is complicated, or impacts to listed species or critical habitat are found to be greater than minimal, the District will consider reviewing the project under the Individual Permit process.

Projects in Will, DuPage, or Cook Counties that are located in the recharge zones for Hine's emerald dragonfly critical habitat units may be reviewed under the RPP, with careful consideration due to the potential impacts to the species. All projects reviewed that are located within 3.25 miles of a critical habitat unit will be reviewed under Category II of the RPP. Please visit the following website for the locations of the Hine's emerald dragonfly critical habitat units in Illinois.
<http://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/insects/hed/FRHinesFinalRevisedCH.html>

3. Historic Properties - In cases where the District determines that the activity may affect properties listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places, the activity may require an Individual Permit. A determination of whether the activity may be authorized under the RPP instead of an Individual Permit will not be made until the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) have been satisfied.

Federal permittees should follow their own procedures for complying with the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. Federal permittees must provide the District with the appropriate documentation to demonstrate compliance with those requirements.

Non-Federal permittees must include notification to the District if the authorized activity may have the potential to cause effects to any historic properties listed, determined to be eligible for listing on, or potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, including previously unidentified properties. For such activities, the permit application must state which historic properties may be affected by the proposed work or include a vicinity map indicating the location of the historic properties or the potential for the presence of historic properties. Assistance regarding information on the location of or potential for the presence of historic resources can be sought from the State Historic Preservation Officer or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, as appropriate, and the National Register of Historic Places (see 33 CFR 330.4(g)). When reviewing permit submittals, the District will comply with the current procedures for addressing the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. Based on the information submitted and these efforts, the District shall determine whether the proposed activity has the potential to cause an effect on the historic properties. Where the non-Federal applicant has identified historic properties which the activity may have the potential to cause effects and so notified the District, the non-Federal applicant shall not begin the activity until notified by the District either that the activity has no potential to cause effects or that consultation under Section 106 of the NHPA has been completed.

The District will take into account the effects on such properties in accordance with 33 CFR Part 325, Appendix C, and 36 CFR 800. If all issues pertaining to historic properties have been resolved through the consultation process to the satisfaction of the District, Illinois Historic Preservation Agency (IHPA) and Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the District may, at its discretion, authorize the activity under the RPP instead of an Individual Permit.

Applicants are encouraged to obtain information on historic properties from the IHPA and the National Register of Historic Places at the earliest stages of project planning. For information, contact:

Illinois Historic Preservation Agency
1 Old State Capitol Plaza
Springfield, IL 62701-1507
(217) 782-4836
<http://www.illinois.gov/iHPA/>

If you discover any previously unknown historic, cultural or archeological remains and artifacts while accomplishing the activity, you must immediately notify this office of what you have found, and to the maximum extent practicable, stop activities that would adversely affect those remains and artifacts until the required coordination has been completed. We will initiate the Federal, Tribal and State coordination required to determine if the items or remains warrant a recovery effort or if the site is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

4. Soil Erosion and Sediment Control - Measures shall be taken to control soil erosion and sedimentation at the project site to ensure that sediment is not transported to waters of the U.S. during construction. Soil erosion and sediment control measures shall be implemented before initiating any clearing, grading, excavating or filling activities. All temporary and permanent soil erosion and sediment control measures shall be maintained throughout the construction period and until the site is stabilized. All exposed soil and other fills, and any work below the ordinary high water mark shall be permanently stabilized at the earliest practicable date.

Applicants are required to prepare a soil erosion and sediment control (SESC) plan including temporary BMPs. The plan shall be designed in accordance with the Illinois Urban Manual, 2011 (<http://aiswcd.org/IUM/index.html>). Practice standards and specifications for measures outlined in the soil erosion and sediment control plans will follow the latest edition of the "Illinois Urban Manual: A Technical Manual Designed for Urban Ecosystem Protection and Enhancement." Additional Soil Erosion and Sediment Control (SESC) measures not identified in the Illinois Urban Manual may also be utilized upon District approval.

At the District's discretion, an applicant may be required to submit the SESC plan to the local Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD), or the Lake County Stormwater Management Commission (SMC) for review. When the District requires submission of an SESC plan, the following applies: An activity may not commence until the SESC plan for the project site has been approved; The SWCD/SMC will review the plan and provide a written evaluation of its adequacy; A SESC plan is considered acceptable when the SWCD/SMC has found that it meets technical standards. Once a determination has been made, the authorized work may commence unless the SWCD/SMC has requested that they be notified prior to commencement of the approved plans. The SWCD/SMC may attend pre-construction meetings with the permittee and conduct inspections during construction to determine compliance with the plans. Applicants are encouraged to begin coordinating with the appropriate SWCD/SMC office at the earliest stages of project planning. For information, contact:

Kane-DuPage SWCD
2315 Dean Street, Suite 100
St. Charles, IL 60174
(630) 584-7961 ext.3
www.kanedupageswcd.org

McHenry-Lake County SWCD
1648 South Eastwood Dr.
Woodstock, IL 60098
(815) 338-0099 ext.3
www.mchenryswcd.org

Will/South Cook SWCD
1201 S. Gougar Rd.
New Lenox, IL 60451
(815) 462-3106
www.will-scookswcd.org

North Cook SWCD
2358 Hassell Rd
Hoffman Estates, IL 60169
(847) 885-8830
www.northcookswcd.org

Lake County SMC
500 W. Winchester Rd, Suite 201
Libertyville, IL 60048
(847) 377-7700
www.lakecountyil.gov/stormwater

5. Total Maximum Daily Load - For projects that include a discharge of pollutant(s) to waters for which there is an approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) allocation for any parameter, the applicant shall develop plans and BMPs that are consistent with the assumptions and requirements in the approved TMDL. The applicant must incorporate into their plans and BMPs any conditions applicable to their discharges necessary for consistency with the assumptions and requirements of the TMDL within any timeframes established in the TMDL. The applicant must carefully document the justifications for all BMPs and plans, and install,

implement and maintain practices and BMPs that are consistent with all relevant TMDL allocations and with all relevant conditions in an implementation plan. Information regarding the TMDL program, including approved TMDL allocations, can be found at the following website: www.epa.state.il.us/water/tmdl/

6. Floodplain - Discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States within the 100-year floodplain (as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency) resulting in permanent above-grade fills shall be avoided and minimized to the maximum extent practicable. When such an above-grade fill would occur, the applicant may need to obtain approval from the Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Office of Water Resources, (IDNR-OWR) which regulates activities affecting the floodway and the local governing agency (e.g., Village or County) with jurisdiction over activities in the floodplain. Compensatory storage may be required for fill within the floodplain. Applicants are encouraged to obtain information from the IDNR-OWR and the local governing agency with jurisdiction at the earliest stages of project planning. For information on floodway construction, contact:

IDNR/OWR
2050 Stearns Road
Bartlett, IL 60103
(847) 608-3100
<http://www.dnr.illinois.gov/WaterResources/>

For information on floodplain construction, please contact the local government and/or the Federal Emergency Management Agency. Pursuant to 33 CFR 320.4(j), the District will consider the likelihood of the applicant obtaining approval for above-ground permanent fills in floodplains in determining whether to issue authorization under the RPP.

7. Navigation - No activity may cause more than a minimal adverse effect on navigation. Any safety lights and signals prescribed by the U.S. Coast Guard, through regulations or otherwise, must be installed and maintained at the permittee's expense on authorized facilities in navigable waters of the United States. The permittee understands and agrees that, if future operations by the United States require the removal, relocation, or other alteration, of the structure or work herein authorized, or if, in the opinion of the Secretary of the Army or his authorized representative, said structure or work shall cause unreasonable obstruction to the free navigation of the navigable waters, the permittee will be required, upon due notice from the Corps of Engineers, to remove, relocate, or alter the structural work or obstructions caused thereby, without expense to the United States. No claim shall be made against the United States on account of any such removal or alteration.

8. Proper Maintenance - Any authorized structure or fill shall be properly maintained, including that necessary to ensure public safety.

9. Aquatic Life Movements - No activity may substantially disrupt the movement of those species of aquatic life indigenous to the waterbody, including species that normally migrate through the area, unless the activity's primary purpose is to impound water.

10. Equipment - Soil disturbance and compaction shall be minimized through the use of matting for heavy equipment, low ground pressure equipment, or other measures as approved by the District.

11. Wild and Scenic Rivers - No activity may occur in a component of the National Wild and Scenic River System or in a river officially designated by Congress as a "study river" for possible inclusion in the system, while the river is in an official study status. Information on Wild and Scenic Rivers may be obtained from the appropriate land management agency in the area, such as the National Park Service and the U.S. Forest Service.

12. Tribal Rights - No activity or its operation may impair reserved tribal rights, such as reserved water rights, treaty fishing and hunting rights.
13. Water Supply Intakes - No discharge of dredged or fill material may occur in the proximity of a public water supply intake except where the discharge is for repair of the public water supply intake structures or adjacent bank stabilization.
14. Shellfish Production - No discharge of dredged or fill material may occur in areas of concentrated shellfish production.
15. Suitable Material - No discharge of dredged or fill material may consist of unsuitable material and material discharged shall be free from toxic pollutants in toxic amounts (see Section 307 of the Clean Water Act). Unsuitable material includes trash, debris, car bodies, asphalt, and creosote treated wood.
16. Spawning Areas - Discharges in spawning areas during spawning seasons shall be avoided to the maximum extent practicable.
17. Obstruction of High Flows - Discharges shall not permanently restrict or impede the passage of normal or expected high flows. All crossings shall be culverted, bridged or otherwise designed to prevent the restriction of expected high water flows, and shall be designed so as not to impede low water flows or the movement of aquatic organisms.
18. Impacts From Impoundments - If the discharge creates an impoundment of water, adverse impacts on aquatic resources caused by the accelerated passage of water and/or the restriction of its flow shall be avoided to the maximum extent practicable.
19. Waterfowl Breeding Areas - Discharges into breeding areas for migratory waterfowl shall be avoided to the maximum extent practicable.
20. Removal of Temporary Fills - Any temporary fill material shall be removed in its entirety and the affected area returned to its pre-existing condition.
21. Mitigation - All appropriate and practicable steps must first be taken to avoid and minimize impacts to aquatic resources. For unavoidable impacts, compensatory mitigation is required to replace the loss of wetland, stream, and/or other aquatic resource functions (33 CFR 332). The proposed compensatory mitigation shall utilize a watershed approach and fully consider the ecological needs of the watershed. Where an appropriate watershed plan is available, mitigation site selection should consider recommendations in the plan. The applicant shall describe in detail how the mitigation site was chosen and will be developed, based on the specific resource need of the impacted watershed. Permit applicants are responsible for proposing an appropriate compensatory mitigation option to offset unavoidable impacts. However, the District is responsible for determining the appropriate form and amount of compensatory mitigation required when evaluating compensatory mitigation options, and determining the type of mitigation that would be environmentally preferable. In making this determination, the District will assess the likelihood for ecological success and sustainability, the location of the compensation site relative to the impact site and their significance within the watershed. Methods of providing compensatory mitigation include aquatic resource restoration, establishment, enhancement, and in certain circumstances, preservation. Compensatory mitigation will be accomplished by establishing a minimum ratio of 1.5 acres of mitigation for every 1.0 acre of impact to waters of the U.S. Furthermore, the District has the discretion to require additional mitigation to ensure that the impacts are no more than minimal. Further information is available at www.lrc.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/Illinois/Mitigation.aspx

22. Notification - The applicant shall provide written notification (i.e., a complete application) for a proposed activity to be authorized under the RPP prior to commencing a proposed activity. The District's receipt of the complete application is the date when the District receives all required notification information from the applicant (see below). If the District informs the applicant within 60 calendar days that the notification is incomplete (i.e., not a complete application), the applicant shall submit to the District, in writing, the requested information to be considered for review under the Regional Permit Program. A new 60 day review period will commence when the District receives the requested information. Applications that involve unauthorized activities that are completed or partially completed by the applicant are not subject to the 60-day review period.

For all activities, notification shall include:

- a. A cover letter providing a detailed narrative of the proposed activity describing all work to be performed, a clear project purpose and need statement, the Regional Permit(s) to be used for the activity, the area (in acres) of waters of the U.S. to be impacted (be sure to specify if the impact is permanent or temporary, and identify which area it affects), and a statement that the terms and conditions of the RPP will be followed.
- b. A completed joint application form for Illinois signed by the applicant or agent. The application form is available at www.lrc.usace.army.mil/Portals/36/docs/regulatory/forms/appform.pdf. If the applicant does not sign the joint application form, notification shall include a signed, written statement from the applicant designating the agent as their representative.
- c. A delineation of waters of the U.S., including wetlands, for the project area, and for areas adjacent to the project site (off-site wetlands shall be identified through the use of reference materials including review of local wetland inventories, soil surveys and the most recent available aerial photography), shall be prepared in accordance with the current U.S. Army Corps of Engineers methodology (www.usace.army.mil/Missions/CivilWorks/RegulatoryProgramandPermits/reg_supp.aspx) and generally conducted during the growing season. Our wetland delineation standards are available at www.lrc.usace.army.mil/Portals/36/docs/regulatory/pdf/Delineations.pdf. For sites supporting wetlands, the delineation shall include a Floristic Quality Assessment (Swink and Wilhelm. 1994, latest edition, Plants of the Chicago Region). The delineation shall also include information on the occurrence of any high-quality aquatic resources (see Appendix A), and a listing of waterfowl, reptile and amphibian species observed while at the project area. The District reserves the right to exercise judgment when reviewing submitted wetland delineations. Flexibility of the requirements may be determined by the District on a case-by-case basis only.
- d. A street map showing the location of the project area.
- e. Latitude and longitude for the project in decimal degrees format (i.e. 41.88377N, -87.63960W).
- f. Preliminary engineering drawings sized 11" by 17" (full-sized may be requested by the project manager and you may also submit plans in PDF format on a disc) showing all aspects of the proposed activity and the location of waters of the U.S. to be impacted and not impacted. The plans shall include grading contours, proposed and existing structures such as buildings footprints, roadways, road crossings, stormwater management facilities, utilities, construction access areas and details of water conveyance structures. The plans shall also depict buffer areas, outlots or open space designations, best management practices, deed restricted areas and restoration areas, if required under the specific RP.

* If a wetland delineation is conducted outside of the growing season, the District will determine on a case-by-case basis whether sufficient evidence is available to make an accurate determination. If the District finds that the delineation lacks sufficient evidence, the application will not be considered complete until the information is provided. This may involve re-delineating the project site during the growing season.

- g. Submittal of soil erosion and sediment control (SESC) plans that identify all SESC measures to be utilized during construction of the project.
- h. The application packet shall indicate whether resources (species, their suitable habitats, or critical habitat) listed or designated under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, may be present within areas affected (directly or indirectly) by the proposed project. Applicants shall provide a section 7 species list for the action area using the on-line process at the USFWS website. You can access “U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Endangered Species Program of the Upper Midwest” website at www.fws.gov/midwest/Endangered. Click on the section 7 Technical Assistance green shaded box in the lower right portion of the screen and follow the instructions to completion. Print all documentation pertaining to the species list, include the rationale for your effects determination for each species, and forward the information to this office for review.

In the event there are no species, their suitable habitats, or critical habitat, then a “no effect” determination can be made and section 7 consultation is not warranted. If species or critical habitat appear on the list, or suitable habitat is present within the action area, then a biological assessment or biological evaluation will need to be completed to determine if the proposed action will have “no effect” or “may effect” on the species or suitable habitat. The District will request initiation of section 7 consultation with the USFWS upon agreement with the applicant on the effect determinations in the biological assessment or biological evaluation. If the issues are not resolved, the analysis of the situation is complicated, or impacts to listed species or critical habitat are found to be greater than minimal, the District will consider reviewing the project under the Individual Permit process.

- i. A determination of the presence or absence of any State threatened or endangered species. Please contact the Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) to determine if any State threatened and endangered species could be in the project area. You can access the IDNR’s Ecological Compliance Assessment Tool (EcoCAT) at the following website: <http://dnr.illinois.gov/EcoPublic/>. Once you complete the EcoCAT and consultation process, forward all resulting information to this office for consideration. The report shall also include recommended methods as required by the IDNR for minimizing potential adverse effects of the project.
- j. A statement about the knowledge of the presence or absence of Historic Properties, which includes properties listed, or properties eligible to be listed in the National Register of Historic Places. A letter from the Illinois Historic Preservation Agency (IHPA) can be obtained indicating whether your project is in compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended. The permittee shall provide all pertinent correspondence with the IHPA documenting compliance. The IHPA has a checklist of documentation required for their review located here: <http://www.illinois.gov/ihpa/Preserve/Pages/Resource-Protection.aspx> .
- k. Where an appropriate watershed plan is available, the applicant shall address in writing how the proposed activity is aligned with the relevant water quality, hydrologic, and aquatic resource protection recommendations in the watershed plan.
- l. A discussion of measures taken to avoid and/or minimize impacts to aquatic resources on the project site.
- m. A compensatory mitigation plan for all impacts to waters of the U.S. (if compensatory mitigation is required under the specific RP).
- n. A written narrative addressing all items listed under the specific RP.

For Category II activities, the District will provide an Agency Request for Comments (ARC) which describes the proposed activity. The ARC will be sent to the following agencies: United States Fish & Wildlife Service

(USFWS), United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR), Illinois Department of Natural Resources/Office of Water Resources (IDNR/OWR), Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Historic Preservation Agency (IHPA), Illinois Nature Preserves Commission (INPC) and U.S. Coast Guard (Section 10 activities only). Additional entities may also be notified as needed. These agencies have ten (10) calendar days from the date of the ARC to contact the District and either provide comments or request an extension not to exceed fifteen (15) calendar days. The District will fully consider agency comments received within the specified time frame. If the District determines the activity complies with the terms and conditions of the RPP and impacts on aquatic resources are minimal, the District will notify the applicant in writing and include special conditions if deemed necessary. If the District determines that the impacts of the proposed activity are more than minimal, the District will notify the applicant that the project does not qualify for authorization under the RPP and instruct the applicant on the procedures to seek authorization under an Individual Permit.

23. Compliance Certification - Any permittee who has received authorization under the RPP from the District shall submit a signed certification regarding the completed work and any required mitigation. The certification will be forwarded by the District with the authorization letter and will include: a) a statement that the authorized work was done in accordance with the District's authorization, including any general or specific conditions; b) a statement that any required mitigation was completed in accordance with the permit conditions and; c) the signature of the permittee certifying the completion of the work and mitigation.

24. Multiple use of Regional Permits - In any case where a Regional Permit is combined with any other Regional Permit to cover a single and complete project (except where prohibited under specific Regional Permits), the applicant shall notify the District in accordance with General Condition 22. If multiple Regional Permits are used, the total impact may not exceed the maximum allowed by the Regional Permit with the greatest impact threshold.

25. Other Restrictions - Authorization under the RPP does not obviate the need to obtain other Federal, State or local permits, approvals, or authorizations required by law nor does it grant any property rights or exclusive privileges, authorize any injury to the property or rights of others or authorize interference with any existing or proposed Federal project.

Approved by:

//ORIGINAL SIGNED//

Frederic A. Drummond, Jr.
Colonel, U.S. Army
District Commander

February 24, 2012

Date

REGIONAL PERMITS

1. RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL AND INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENTS

RP1 authorizes the construction of residential, commercial and institutional developments and associated infrastructure, such as roads, utilities, detention areas, and recreation areas. Authorization under RP1 is subject to the following requirements which shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification:

- a. The impact to waters of the U.S. shall not exceed 1.0 acre. For projects that impact over 0.10 acres of waters of the U.S., the permittee is required to provide compensatory mitigation.
- b. Projects that impact no more than 0.5 acres of waters of the U.S., and do not impact any high-quality aquatic resources, will be processed under Category I.
- c. Projects that impact over 0.5 acres up to 1.0 acre of waters of the U.S., or impacts high-quality aquatic resources, will be processed under Category II.
- d. The permittee shall establish and/or enhance an upland buffer of native plants (or other appropriate vegetation approved by the District) adjacent to all created, restored, enhanced or preserved waters of the U.S., including wetlands. Created buffers should be established on 6:1 (horizontal: vertical) or gentler slopes. The following buffer widths are required:
 - 1) For any waters of the U.S. determined to be a high-quality aquatic resource, the buffer shall be a minimum of 100 feet.
 - 2) For any waters of the U.S. that do not qualify as wetland (e.g. lakes, rivers, ponds, etc.), the buffer shall be a minimum of 50 feet from the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM).
 - 3) For any jurisdictional wetland from 0.25 acres up to 0.50 acres in size, the buffer shall be a minimum of 30 feet.
 - 4) For any jurisdictional wetland over 0.50 acres in size, the buffer shall be a minimum of 50 feet.

The District may allow buffer widths below the above-required minimums on a case by case basis. However, it is the responsibility of the applicant to provide supporting documentation as to why the buffer requirement could not be met.

Stormwater retention/detention facilities and nature trails may be located within the outer 50% of the buffer. The District may allow Best Management Practices, small boat launches and piers/docks to be located in buffers.

- e. All remaining, created, restored or enhanced waters of the U.S. and adjacent buffers on the project site shall be protected through a deed restriction or through a conservation easement. A draft deed restriction or conservation easement shall be provided with notification. This requirement may be waived at the discretion of the District if there are long term protections already in place for the onsite natural resources.
- f. No lot lines shall occur in created, restored, enhanced or preserved waters of the U.S. and adjacent buffer areas on the project site. The District may consider a request by the applicant to allow for lot lines to be in these areas provided there is a demonstrated conflict between the lot line restriction and local ordinance and/or State law. The District may accept physical measures such as the installation of split-rail fencing or other means of separating the protected area, posting of signs marking the limits of

the protected areas, and establishing a party responsible for the long-term management of the protected areas in lieu of recording such areas as separate outlot property deeds.

- g. The project shall employ permanent Best Management Practices (BMPs) to protect water quality, preserve natural hydrology and minimize the overall impacts of development on aquatic resources. BMPs shall be considered at the earliest planning stages of the project.

The applicant shall design the project to include the preservation of natural resource features such as floodplains, streams, lakes, steep slopes, significant wildlife areas, wetlands, natural depressions and drainageways, prairies, woodlands, sensitive aquifers and their recharge areas and native soils. In addition, the design elements utilized by the applicant shall include an appropriate combination of those provided on the list below:

- 1) Minimize mass grading and disturbance of soils.
- 2) Lay out streets and lots to conform to the natural topography of the site.
- 3) Minimize new impervious surfaces by clustering of neighborhoods and homes, minimizing street widths and parking lots and reducing lot sizes and building setbacks.
- 4) Preserve and create natural landscaping, buffers and filter strips.
- 5) Utilize permeable areas to maximize infiltration of runoff into the ground through the use of biofilters, filter strips, bioswales, infiltration trenches, permeable pavement and native vegetated open spaces.
- 6) Direct runoff to permeable areas and/or utilize stormwater for reuse by:
 - a. Directing roof runoff towards permeable surfaces, drywells, French drains, vegetated swales, or other BMPs instead of driveways or other non-permeable surfaces.
 - b. Grading impervious surfaces to direct runoff to permeable areas, utilizing level spreaders or other methods to distribute the runoff onto pervious surfaces.
 - c. Using cisterns, retention structures or rooftops to store precipitation or runoff for reuse.
 - d. Removing berms and designing pavement edges (e.g., curb cuts) in order to direct water to permeable landscaped areas.
- 7) Improve water quality of stormwater leaving the site through the use of a naturalized detention basin designed to maximize the removal and transformation of runoff pollutants. The design should include:
 - a. Emergent vegetation in the bottoms of the wetland basins and along the periphery of wet bottom basins and side slopes vegetated in native prairie (traditional dry bottom basins are not approved BMPs).
 - b. Stilling basins at detention basin inlets and maximizing the distance between inlets and the basin outlet.
 - c. Installation of pre-settling systems such as forebays, mechanical stormwater treatment units, or other similar structures, prior to discharge of stormwater into detention/retention facilities.
 - d. Detention/retention facilities should be designed so that discharges from outlet structures are directed to level spreaders prior to entering adjacent/downstream aquatic resources.

A written narrative shall be included with the notification which describes how the BMP hierarchy above was used in determining the water quality protection practices selected for the project site. BMP(s) may be located in upland buffers adjacent to wetlands and other waters of the U.S. The narrative shall thoroughly describe the BMPs that will be utilized and permanently maintained and the entity responsible for maintenance of the BMPs. A management and monitoring plan will be required for all approved BMPs. The plan shall be designed on a case-by-case basis and shall include performance standards such as the BMPs ability to function as designed, percent coverage of vegetation, stabilized soils, and corrective measures to bring areas into compliance, etc. Each BMP selected shall be part of a coordinated system (“treatment train”), which provides multiple layers of treatment.

- h. Stormwater management facilities shall not be constructed in a linear body of water such as a river, or perennial, intermittent or ephemeral stream or creek, unless there is substantial evidence that the project will provide a benefit to the aquatic system.
- i. The project shall be designed such that stormwater does not directly discharge into waters of the U.S. All stormwater shall be either infiltrated or detained and treated prior to discharging into waters of the U.S. In addition, stormwater shall be discharged using methods that promote infiltration and water quality treatment, such as level spreaders, infiltration trenches and vegetated swales.
- j. This permit does not authorize the underground piping of a linear waterbody, with the exception of culverted transportation crossings.
- k. For a project site adjacent to a conservation area, the permittee shall request correspondence from the organization responsible for management of the area. The correspondence should identify recommended measures to protect the area from impacts that may occur as a result of the development. A copy of the request and any response received from the organization shall be submitted to the District with the notification.
- l. The project shall be a single and complete project. For example, if construction of a residential development involves phasing, the sum of all impacted areas would be the basis for deciding whether or not the project will be covered under the Regional Permit Program.
- m. Items e through p of Regional Permit 3 (Transportation Projects) shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification.
- n. Items d through s of Regional Permit 8 (Utility Line Projects) shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification. Utility Line Projects are subject to individual water quality certification under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act for certain water bodies as listed under RP8 condition d.
- o. All temporary construction activities shall adhere to the requirements of items c through i of Regional Permit 7 (Temporary Construction Activities) and shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification.

2. RECREATION PROJECTS

RP2 authorizes the construction of recreation projects, including golf courses, sports fields, playgrounds, parks and multi-use trails, and associated infrastructure, such as roads, utilities, and detention areas. Authorization under RP2 is subject to the following requirements which shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification:

- a. The impact to waters of the U.S. shall not exceed 1.0 acre. For projects that impact over 0.10 acres of waters of the U.S., the permittee is required to provide compensatory mitigation.
- b. Projects that impact no more than 0.5 acres of waters of the U.S., and do not impact any high-quality aquatic resources, will be processed under Category I.
- c. Projects that impact over 0.5 acres up to 1.0 acre of waters of the U.S., or impacts high-quality aquatic resources, will be processed under Category II.
- d. The permittee shall establish and/or enhance an upland buffer of native plants (or other appropriate vegetation approved by the District) adjacent to all created, restored, enhanced or preserved waters of the U.S., including wetlands. Created buffers should be established on 6:1 (horizontal: vertical) or gentler slopes. The following buffer widths are required:
 - 1) For any waters of the U.S. determined to be a high-quality aquatic resource, the buffer shall be a minimum of 100 feet.
 - 2) For any waters of the U.S. that do not qualify as wetland (e.g. lakes, rivers, ponds, etc.), the buffer shall be a minimum of 50 feet from the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM).
 - 3) For any jurisdictional wetland from 0.25 acres up to 0.50 acres in size, the buffer shall be a minimum of 30 feet.
 - 4) For any jurisdictional wetland over 0.50 acres in size, the buffer shall be a minimum of 50 feet.

The District may allow buffer widths below the above-required minimums on a case by case basis. However, it is the responsibility of the applicant to provide supporting documentation as to why the buffer requirement could not be met.

Stormwater retention/detention facilities and nature trails may be located within the outer 50% of the buffer. The District may allow Best Management Practices, small boat launches and piers/docks to be located in buffers.

- e. All remaining, created, restored or enhanced waters of the U.S. and adjacent buffers on the project site shall be protected through a deed restriction or through a conservation easement. A draft deed restriction or conservation easement shall be provided with notification.
- f. No lot lines shall occur in created, restored, enhanced or preserved waters of the U.S. and adjacent buffer areas on the project site. The District may consider a request by the applicant to allow for lot lines to be in these areas provided there is a demonstrated conflict between the lot line restriction and/or local ordinance or State law. The District may accept physical measures such as the installation of split-rail fencing or other means of separating the protected area, posting of signs marking the limits of the protected areas, and establishing a party responsible for the long-term management of the protected areas in lieu of recording such areas as separate outlot property deeds.

- g. The project shall employ permanent Best Management Practices (BMPs) to protect water quality, preserve natural hydrology and minimize the overall impacts of development on aquatic resources. BMPs shall be considered at the earliest planning stages of the project.

The applicant shall design the project to include the preservation of natural resource features such as floodplains, streams, lakes, steep slopes, significant wildlife areas, wetlands, natural depressions and drainageways, prairies, woodlands, sensitive aquifers and their recharge areas and native soils. In addition, the design elements utilized by the applicant shall include an appropriate combination of those provided on the list below:

- 1) Minimize mass grading and disturbance of soils.
- 2) Lay out streets and lots to conform to the natural topography of the site.
- 3) Minimize new impervious surfaces by clustering of facilities, minimizing street widths and parking lots and reducing lot sizes and building setbacks.
- 4) Preserve and create natural landscaping, buffers and filter strips.
- 5) Utilize permeable areas to maximize infiltration of runoff into the ground through the use of biofilters, filter strips, bioswales, infiltration trenches, permeable pavement and native vegetated open spaces.
- 6) Direct runoff to permeable areas and/or utilize stormwater for reuse by:
 - a. Directing roof runoff towards permeable surfaces, drywells, French drains, vegetated swales, or other BMPs instead of driveways or other non-permeable surfaces.
 - b. Grading impervious surfaces to direct runoff to permeable areas, utilizing level spreaders or other methods to distribute the runoff onto pervious surfaces.
 - c. Using cisterns, retention structures or rooftops to store precipitation or runoff for reuse.
 - d. Removing berms and designing pavement edges (e.g., curb cuts) in order to direct water to permeable landscaped areas.
- 7) Improve water quality of stormwater leaving the site through the use of a naturalized detention basin designed to maximize the removal and transformation of runoff pollutants. The design should include:
 - a. Emergent vegetation in the bottoms of the wetland basins and along the periphery of wet bottom basins and side slopes vegetated in native prairie (traditional dry bottom basins are not approved BMPs).
 - b. Stilling basins at detention basin inlets and maximizing the distance between inlets and the basin outlet.
 - c. Installation of pre-settling systems such as forebays, mechanical stormwater treatment units, or similar structures, prior to discharge of stormwater into detention/retention facilities.
 - d. Detention/retention facilities should be designed so that discharges from outlet structures are directed to level spreaders prior to entering adjacent/downstream aquatic resources.

A written narrative shall be included with the notification which describes how the BMP hierarchy above was used in determining the water quality protection practices selected for the project site.

BMP(s) may be located in upland buffers adjacent to wetlands and other waters of the U.S. The narrative shall thoroughly describe the BMPs that will be utilized and permanently maintained and the entity responsible for maintenance of the BMPs. A management and monitoring plan will be required for all approved BMPs. The plan shall be designed on a case-by-case basis and shall include performance standards such as the BMPs ability to function as designed, percent coverage of vegetation, stabilized soils, and corrective measures to bring areas into compliance, etc. Each BMP selected shall be part of a coordinated system (“treatment train”), which provides multiple layers of treatment.

- h. Stormwater management facilities shall not be constructed in a linear body of water such as a river, or perennial, intermittent or ephemeral stream or creek, unless there is substantial evidence that the project will provide a benefit to the aquatic system.
- i. The project shall be designed such that stormwater does not directly discharge into waters of the U.S. All water shall be either infiltrated or detained and treated prior to discharging into waters of the U.S. In addition, stormwater shall be discharged using methods that promote infiltration and water quality treatment, such as level spreaders, infiltration trenches and vegetated swales.
- j. This permit does not authorize the underground piping of a linear waterbody.
- k. For a project site adjacent to a conservation area, the permittee shall request a letter from the organization responsible for management of the area. The response letter should identify recommended measures to protect the area from impacts that may occur as a result of the development. A copy of the request and any response received from the organization shall be submitted to the District with the notification.
- l. The project shall be a single and complete project. For example, if construction of a golf course involves phasing, the sum of all impacted areas would be the basis for deciding whether or not the project will be covered under the Regional Permit Program.
- m. Items e through p of Regional Permit 3 (Transportation Projects) shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification.
- n. Items d through s of Regional Permit 8 (Utility Line Projects) shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification. Utility Line Projects are subject to individual water quality certification under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act for certain water bodies as listed under RP8 condition d.
- o. All temporary construction activities shall adhere to the requirements of items c through i of Regional Permit 7 (Temporary Construction Activities) and shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification.

3. TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS

RP3 authorizes the construction or replacement of public transportation projects, including roads, bridges, runways and taxiways, and railroads. Authorization under RP3 is subject to the following requirements which shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification:

- a. The impact to waters of the U.S. shall not exceed 0.25 acres for any single crossing. For projects that involve multiple crossings of waters of the U.S., the cumulative impact cannot exceed 1.0 acre. For purposes of this RP only, a single crossing is defined as an act or instance of crossing over, or an activity that facilitates transportation from one side to the other.
- b. For projects that impact greater than 0.10 acres of waters of the U.S., the permittee is required to provide compensatory mitigation.
- c. Projects that impact no more than 0.5 acres of waters of the U.S. and do not impact high-quality aquatic resources will be processed under Category I.
- d. Projects that impact over 0.5 acres up to 1.0 acre of waters of the U.S., impact a high quality aquatic resource, or cross a Section 10 Waterway (www.lrc.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/NavigableWaters.aspx), will be processed under Category II.
- e. The discharge shall be limited to the minimum width necessary to complete the authorized work.
- f. Crossings of waterways and/or wetlands shall be culverted, bridged or otherwise designed to prevent the restriction of expected high water flows. They shall be designed so as not to impede low water flows or the safe passage of fish and aquatic organisms. Additional guidance for the planning and installation of stream crossings can be found at: www.fws.gov/midwest/Fisheries/StreamCrossings/index.htm . Additional conditions may be required for streams determined to be a high quality fisheries resource such as designing the bottom of the culvert to include “roughness” to reduce flow velocities. “Roughness” can include cemented-in stone, baffles, or the placement of rock along the bottom of the culvert and/or along the culvert wall. Embedding the culvert to a depth of greater than 12 inches may also be required.
 - 1) An alternatives analysis shall be prepared for perennial stream crossings where a culvert is proposed. The analysis shall document why the use of an arch-span, bottomless culvert or bridging would not be a practicable alternative. If use of a multi-barrel pipe culvert is proposed, document why a single box-culvert system cannot be used.
 - 2) For culverts, the upstream and downstream invert shall be embedded 6 to 12 inches below the streambed elevation. This will allow the natural substrate to colonize the structure’s bottom, encourage fish movement and maintain the existing channel slope. Culvert slope should match adjacent elevations. The width of the base flow culvert shall be approximately equal to the average channel width to promote the safe passage of fish and other aquatic organisms. Culvert(s) shall not permanently widen /constrict the channel or reduce/increase stream depth. Multiple pipe culverts may not be used to receive base flows.
- g. The permittee shall clearly label the construction drawings to include existing and proposed grading contours, all structures associated with the installation of the crossing such as wing walls, rock and concrete protection measures, existing and proposed utilities lines, outfalls and associated structures. A detailed narrative shall accompany the construction plans and describe all work to be performed as indicated on the plans.

- h. All in-stream work, such as the installation of cofferdams or water diversion devices, the removal of accumulated sediments, and any demolition work, shall be clearly labeled on the construction drawings and explained in detail in project narrative.
- i. If dewatering of the site is required in order to perform work in waterways, the site shall be dewatered for work in the dry and dewatering shall be temporary only. No in-stream work will be authorized unless soil erosion and sediment control measures are deemed acceptable by the District.
- j. All temporary construction activities shall adhere to the requirements of items c through i of Regional Permit 7 (Temporary Construction Activities) and shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification.
- k. This permit shall not be used to authorize structural bank stabilization methods such as retaining walls, gabion baskets, riprap, etc., other than those structures necessary to assure the integrity of the stream and stream bank immediately adjacent to the crossing.
- l. The permittee shall establish and maintain a protective upland buffer composed of native plants (or other appropriate vegetation approved by the District) within the right-of-way adjacent to all waters of the U.S.
- m. The project shall employ permanent Best Management Practices (BMPs) to protect water quality, preserve natural hydrology and minimize the overall impacts of the project on aquatic resources. BMPs shall be considered at the earliest planning stages of the project.

The applicant shall design the project to include the avoidance of natural resource features such as floodplains, streams, lakes, significant wildlife areas, wetlands, and drainageways. To the greatest extent possible, the activity should be designed such that surface water does not directly discharge into waters of the U.S.

BMPs may be used independently or in concert to achieve the required water quality enhancement and resource protection. Water should be infiltrated or detained and treated prior to discharging into waters of the U.S. Possible BMPs include, but are not limited to: native vegetated swales, bioswales, rain gardens, filter strips, infiltration trenches, naturalized detention basins, and permeable pavement.

A written narrative shall be included with the notification which describes how the water quality protection practices were selected for the project site. The narrative shall thoroughly describe the BMPs that will be utilized. A management and monitoring plan will be required on a case-by-case basis and shall include performance standards such as the BMPs ability to function as designed, percent coverage of vegetation, stabilization of soils, and corrective measures to bring areas into compliance.

- n. This permit specifically excludes discharges into jurisdictional areas for the construction associated with building pads or equipment storage areas.
- o. For a project site adjacent to a conservation area, the permittee shall request a letter from the organization responsible for management of the area. The response letter should identify recommended measures to protect the area from impacts that may occur as a result of the development. A copy of the request and any response received from the organization shall be submitted to the District with the notification.
- p. This permit cannot be used to authorize the installation of road crossings associated with residential, commercial or institutional developments.

4. MINOR DISCHARGES AND DREDGING

RP4 authorizations may consist of the following types of activities:

1. Minor discharges of dredged or fill material into all waters of the United States. The quantity of discharged material or the volume of area excavated shall not exceed 25 cubic yards below the ordinary high water mark and shall not impact high-quality aquatic resources. The activity will be processed under Category 1. (Section 10/404)
2. Minor dredging of no more than 25 cubic yards below the ordinary high water mark or the mean high water mark from navigable waters of the United States (Section 10 waters). This RP does not authorize the return water from a contained disposal area. The return water from a contained disposal area is administratively defined as a discharge of dredged material by 33 CFR 323.2(d), even though the disposal itself occurs on the upland and does not require a section 404 permit. The activity will be processed under Category 1. (Section 10)
3. Single Family Residence: The discharge of dredged or fill material for construction or expansion of a single-family residence (including house, garage, driveway, etc.) provided the activity is a single and complete project. This RP is used only once per residence. Sufficient vegetated buffers shall be maintained adjacent to all open water, streams and wetlands. An individual may use this RP for a single-family home to be used as a personal residence only. The term "individual" refers to natural person or persons and does not include a corporation, partnership or similar entity.

Projects that impact no more than 0.25 acres of waters of the U.S. and do not impact any high-quality aquatic resources will be processed under Category I. Projects that impact no more than 0.25 acres of waters of the U.S. and impact high-quality aquatic resources will be processed under Category II and compensatory mitigation will be required. There is no volumetric limitation for activities processed under this item. (Section 404)

Authorization under RP4 is subject to the following requirements which shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification:

- a. This RP does not authorize stream diversions or construction of new channels connected to navigable waters.
- b. This RP does not authorize pile-supported structures used for houses, decks, buildings, parking lots or equipment.
- c. Septic fields may not be constructed in waters of the United States.
- d. This RP does not authorize residential, commercial and institutional developments.
- e. This permit does not authorize temporary construction activities.
- f. This RP shall not be used for the placement of fill in boat slips.
- g. Authorization under RP4 is subject to individual water quality certification under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act only when there is a discharge of dredged and/or fill material, including return flows from dredging operations, pursuant to Section 404 in the following waters:
 - 1) Fox River (including the Fox Chain of Lakes)
 - 2) Lake Michigan
 - 3) Pettibone Creek
 - 4) Kankakee River

5. WETLAND AND STREAM RESTORATION AND ENHANCEMENT

RP5 authorizes the restoration, creation and enhancement of wetlands and riparian areas, and the restoration and enhancement of rivers, creeks and streams, and open water areas on any public or private land. Wetland and stream restoration and enhancement activities include the removal of accumulated sediments; installation, removal and maintenance of small water control structures, dikes and berms; installation of current deflectors; enhancement, restoration, or creation of riffle and pool structures; placement of in-stream habitat structures; modifications of the stream bed and/or banks to restore or create stream meanders; backfilling of artificial channels and drainage ditches; removal of existing drainage structures; construction of open water areas; activities needed to reestablish vegetation, including plowing or discing for seed bed preparation; mechanized land-clearing to remove undesirable vegetation; and other related activities. This RP may be used to relocate aquatic habitat types on the project site, provided there are net gains in aquatic resource functions and values. Authorization under RP5 is subject to the following requirements which shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification:

- a. All projects will be processed under Category I.
- b. This permit does not authorize activities to relocate or channelize a linear waterway such as a river, stream, creek, etc.
- c. This permit cannot be used for the conversion of a stream or creek to another aquatic use, such as the creation of an impoundment for waterfowl habitat.
- d. This permit cannot be used to authorize the conversion of natural wetlands to another aquatic use, such as creation of waterfowl impoundments where a forested wetland previously existed, or the conversion of waterfowl impoundments and wildlife habitat areas.
- e. A management and monitoring plan shall be required for the restoration, creation or enhancement of aquatic resources. Upon the District's approval, the management and monitoring plan may be designed to be site specific, with the duration of the plan determined on a case-by-case basis.
- f. For a project site adjacent to a conservation area, forest preserve holdings, or village, city, municipal or county owned lands, the permittee shall request a letter from the organization responsible for management of the area. The response letter should identify recommended measures to protect the area from impacts that may occur as a result of the development. A copy of the request and any response received from the organization shall be submitted to the District with the notification.
- g. For projects receiving State or Federal grants or funding sources, the permittee shall submit a copy of the document disclosing the expiration date for use of the funds and the expected calendar date for commencement of the project in order to meet funding deadlines.

6. COMPLETED ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS

RP6 authorizes any structure, work or discharge of dredged or fill material, remaining in place, or undertaken for mitigation, restoration or environmental benefit in compliance with:

1. The terms of a final written non-judicial settlement agreement resolving a violation of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act, or the terms of an EPA 309(a) order or consent decrees resolving a violation of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Projects will be processed under Category I; or
2. The terms of a final Federal court decision, consent decree, or settlement agreement resulting from an enforcement action brought by the United States under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and/or Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act. Projects will be processed under Category I.

7. TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES

RP7 authorizes temporary structures and discharges necessary for construction activities, access fills and dewatering of construction sites. Authorization under RP7 is subject to the following requirements which shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification:

- a. All projects will be processed under Category I.
- b. The temporary impact to waters of the U.S. shall not exceed 0.25 acres.
- c. Fill shall be composed of non-erodible materials and be constructed to withstand expected high flows.
- d. Low ground-pressure equipment is recommended for work in wetlands. However, after careful consideration, if the District accepts a proposal to use heavy equipment to accomplish the work, the placement of timber mats or other protective measures shall be utilized to minimize soil disturbance.
- e. All materials used for temporary construction activities shall be moved to an upland area immediately following completion of the construction activity.
- f. The permittee is required to restore the construction area to pre-construction conditions including grading to original contours and revegetating disturbed areas with appropriate native vegetation immediately upon completion of the project. A restoration plan shall be submitted with the notification. A 1-foot contour topographic map of the project area may be required on a case-by-case basis.
- g. This permit does not authorize the use of earthen cofferdams or other practices that would result in a release of sediment into waters of the U.S. Cofferdams shall be constructed of non-erodible materials only. Acceptable practices include, but are not limited to: pre-fabricated rigid cofferdams, sheet piling, inflatable bladders, sandbags and fabric-lined basins.
- h. For projects that require installation and operation of a cofferdam, the cofferdam method and a detailed construction sequence shall be specified in the project narrative, and clearly labeled on the construction plans. Please see our website at www.lrc.usace.army.mil/Portals/36/docs/regulatory/pdf/cofferdam.pdf for "Requirements for In-Stream Construction Activities".
- i. The following requirements will be adhered to for any project requiring in-stream work and shall be incorporated into the soil erosion and sediment control plans for the project:
 - 1) Work in the waterway should be timed to take place during low or no-flow conditions. Low flow conditions are at or below the normal water elevation.

- 2) Water shall be isolated from the in-stream work area using a cofferdam constructed of non-erodible materials (steel sheets, aqua barriers, rip rap and geotextile liner, etc.). Earthen cofferdams are not permissible.
- 3) The cofferdam must be constructed from the upland area and no equipment may enter the water at any time. If the installation of the cofferdam cannot be completed from shore and access is needed to reach the area to be coffered, other measures, such as the construction of a causeway, will be necessary to ensure that equipment does not enter the water. Once the cofferdam is in place and the isolated area is dewatered, equipment may enter the coffered area to perform the required work.
- 4) If bypass pumping is necessary, the intake hose shall be placed on a stable surface or floated to prevent sediment from entering the hose. The bypass discharge shall be placed on a non-erodible, energy dissipating surface prior to rejoining the stream flow and shall not cause erosion. Filtering of bypass water is not necessary unless the bypass water has become sediment-laden as a result of the current construction activities.
- 5) During dewatering of the coffered work area, all sediment-laden water must be filtered to remove sediment. Possible options for sediment removal include baffle systems, anionic polymers systems, dewatering bags, or other appropriate methods. Water shall have sediment removed prior to being re-introduced to the downstream waterway. A stabilized conveyance from the dewatering device to the waterway must be identified in the plan. Discharge water shall not result in a visually identifiable degradation of water clarity.
- 6) The portion of the side slope that is above the observed water elevation shall be stabilized as specified in the plans prior to accepting flows. The substrate and toe of slope that has been disturbed due to construction activities shall be restored to proposed or pre-construction conditions and fully stabilized prior to accepting flows.

8. UTILITY LINE PROJECTS

RP8 authorizes the construction, maintenance and repair of utility line activities and associated facilities in waters of the United States. This includes trenching and backfilling activities for utility lines and fill activities for construction of substations and related appurtenances (temporary and permanent access roads, construction pads, stormwater management facilities, fencing, parking lots, etc.), poles, pads, anchors, outfall structures, and foundations for overhead utility line towers, utility lines under (e.g., through directional drilling) or over navigable waters (regulated under Section 10 waters only), and outfalls and associated intakes which are authorized, conditionally authorized, specifically exempted, or are otherwise in compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System program (Section 402 of the Clean Water Act).

Authorization under RP8 is subject to the following requirements which shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification:

- a. Projects that impact no more than 0.5 acres of waters of the U.S., and do not impact a high-quality aquatic resource, will be processed under Category I.
- b. Projects that impact over 0.5 acres and up to 1.0 acre of waters of the U.S., or impact a high-quality aquatic resource, will be processed under Category II.
- c. The impact to waters of the U.S. shall not exceed 1.0 acre. For projects that impact over 0.10 acres of waters of the U.S., the permittee is required to provide compensatory mitigation.

- d. Authorization under RP8 pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act is subject to individual water quality certification under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act when there is a discharge of dredged and/or fill material to the waters listed below. Return flows from dredging operations to the waters listed below are considered Section 404 discharges. However, as determined on a case-by-case basis by the District, individual water quality certification may not be required for the installation of outfall structures in these waters if there will be no more than minimal disturbance to the sediment and substrate during construction activities;
- 1) Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal
 - 2) Calumet-Sag Channel
 - 3) Little Calumet River
 - 4) Grand Calumet River
 - 5) Calumet River
 - 6) Chicago River (main stem)
 - 7) South Branch of the Chicago River (including South Fork)
 - 8) North Branch of the Chicago River (including East and West Forks and Skokie Lagoons)
 - 9) Lake Calumet
 - 10) Des Plaines River
 - 11) Fox River (including the Fox Chain of Lakes)
 - 12) Lake Michigan
 - 13) Pettibone Creek
 - 14) Kankakee River
- e. For the installation of outfall structures in waters as listed above, there shall be no more than minimal disturbance to the sediment and substrate during construction activities. The implementation of soil erosion and sediment control measures prior to and during construction is required for any outfall installation.
- f. For a project site adjacent to a conservation area, the permittee shall request a letter from the organization responsible for management of the area. The response letter should identify recommended measures to protect the area from impacts that may occur as a result of the development. A copy of the request and any response received from the organization shall be submitted to the District with the notification.
- g. Stormwater management facilities shall not be constructed in a linear body of water such as a river, or perennial, intermittent or ephemeral stream or creek, unless there is substantial evidence that the project will provide a benefit to the aquatic system. Potential benefits could include water quality improvements at headwaters of the watershed, or promote wildlife habitat, feeding and breeding areas.
- h. The project should be designed such that stormwater does not directly discharge into waters of the U.S. All water shall be infiltrated or detained and treated prior to discharging into waters of the U.S. In addition, stormwater should be discharged using methods that promote infiltration and water quality treatment, such as level spreaders, infiltration trenches and vegetated swales.
- i. The permittee shall establish and/or enhance an upland buffer of appropriate native plants adjacent to all created, restored, enhanced or preserved waters of the U.S., including but not limited to: wetlands, rivers, streams, creeks, ponds and lakes. However, the construction or installation of the support towers, poles, footing, anchors and appurtenant structures for overhead and/or underground utility lines are exempt from this upland buffer requirement.
- j. No discharge of dredged or fill material may consist of unsuitable material. Material discharged shall be free from toxic pollutants in toxic amounts (see Section 307 of the Clean Water Act). Unsuitable

materials include but are not limited to: trash, debris, asphalt, and creosote treated wood (i.e. for support poles and towers).

- k. The permittee is required to restore the construction area to pre-construction conditions, including grading the disturbed areas to the original contours and revegetating with appropriate native vegetation to all disturbed areas immediately upon completion of the project. The restoration plan, shall be submitted with the notification. A 1-foot contour topographic map of the project area may be required on a case-by-case basis.
- l. The area of waters of the U.S. to be impacted shall be limited to the minimum necessary to construct the utility line.
- m. The construction zone for linear utility line projects shall be limited to a width of 50 feet. All designated work area(s), including construction staging areas, shall be drawn onto the submitted construction plans and clearly labeled. Equipment storage or staging areas shall not occur in wetlands or waters of the U.S.
- n. Mechanized clearing of vegetation in the utility corridor shall be conducted no more than seven (7) calendar days preceding installation of the utility line in that segment of the corridor. Vegetation shall not be cleared along the entire corridor prior to installation of the utility line.
- o. For utility line projects, directional drilling (regulated in Section 10 waters only) or dry crossing techniques, such as fluming, shall be used for utility line projects if the waterbody to be crossed contains perennial flow. The construction drawings and project narrative shall depict the location of all construction access areas, dewatering pits, jacking and receiving pits and shall discuss the potential need for utility checks within the regulated area. Steps taken shall be taken for the removal and disposal of bentonite slurry, a by-product of installation.
- p. Notification shall include a contingency plan if the project involves the use of directional drilling in navigable waters (Section 10 waters only). The contingency plan shall discuss actions to stabilize the work area (prior, during and post- construction), to employ alternative construction methods, and the process to obtain additional permits necessary to complete the project.
- q. Material resulting from trench excavation may be temporarily (up to 30 days) sidecast into wetlands provided that the material is contained using appropriate soil erosion and sediment control measures. Excavated materials shall not be temporarily sidecast in waterways. Revegetation of all disturbed areas is required.
- r. Utility lines shall not adversely alter the existing hydrology of waters of the U.S., including wetlands. In wetland areas, utility line trenches shall be lined with clay or other impervious materials or structures (such as cut-off walls) to ensure that the utility trench does not alter the hydrology nor drain waters of the U.S. In order to prevent a french drain effect, gravel bedding cannot be used as backfill material in the trench. The method chosen to prevent the draining of wetlands shall be drawn onto the constructions plans and clearly labeled.
- s. In wetland areas, the trench shall be backfilled with topsoil excavated from the trench in the same stratification in which it was removed. For example, the upper horizon of the wetland soil shall be placed back at the ground surface to allow for successful revegetation of wetland plants.
- t. All disturbed areas of the project (i.e. utility corridor, construction access and storage areas, disturbed slopes and streambanks, etc.) shall be stabilized (e.g., blanketed and seeded) immediately upon completion of construction activities in any one segment of the project. In no case shall soil stabilization be delayed until the project is completed.

- u. All temporary construction activities shall adhere to the requirements of items c through i of Regional Permit 7 (Temporary Construction Activities) and shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification.

Note: Utility lines constructed in, over, or under Section 10 waters, and without a discharge of dredged or fill material, require a Section 10 permit if the proposed activity has the potential to affect the course, condition or capacity of navigation. Utility lines constructed through a Section 10 water with a discharge of dredged or fill material requires a Section 404 permit in addition to a Section 10 permit.

9. MAINTENANCE

RP9 authorizes:

1. The repair, rehabilitation, or replacement of any previously authorized, currently serviceable structure or fill, provided that the structure or fill is not to be put to uses differing from those uses specified or contemplated for it in the original permit or the most recently authorized modification. Minor deviations in the structure's configuration or footprint are permitted, provided the environmental impacts resulting from such repair or rehabilitation are minimal. This includes changes in materials, construction techniques, or current construction codes or safety standards which are necessary to implement the repair, rehabilitation, or replacement.
2. This permit also authorizes the repair, rehabilitation, or replacement of those structures destroyed by storms, floods, fire or other discrete events, provided the repair or rehabilitation is commenced or under contract to commence within three years of the date of their destruction or damage.
3. The maintenance of existing flood control facilities, retention/detention basins, and channels that were constructed by the Corps and transferred to a local sponsor for operation and maintenance. Maintenance is limited to that approved in a maintenance baseline determination made by the District. This determination will be based on the approved plans, the facility actually constructed, maintenance history, present versus original flood control needs, and presence of sensitive/unique functions and values of aquatic resources that may be adversely affected. Applicants are encouraged to meet with the District to establish the maintenance baseline prior to notification.

Authorization under RP9 is subject to the following requirements which shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification:

- a. All projects meeting RP9 requirements will be processed under Category I.
- b. All temporary construction activities shall adhere to the requirements of items c through i of Regional Permit 7 (Temporary Construction Activities) and shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification.
- c. In the event of rehabilitation of any previously authorized, currently serviceable structure or fill, you shall provide plan drawings disclosing that the work shall not be put to uses differing than those specified in the original permit or most recently authorized modification.
- d. In the event of repair, rehabilitation or replacement of those structures destroyed by storms, floods, fire or other discrete events, you shall provide written and photographic evidence that the structure(s) has been affected by such events.
- e. No new fill activities shall be authorized under this regional permit.
- f. Projects along the Lake Michigan shoreline are not authorized under this regional permit.
- g. Maintenance dredging and beach restoration are not authorized by this regional permit.

10. BANK STABILIZATION

RP10 authorizes bank stabilization activities in all waters of the U.S., except Lake Michigan, subject to the following requirements which shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification:

1. Projects that involve the use of vegetative and biotechnical practices will be processed under Category I and are not subject to length restrictions. Biotechnical practices are defined as bank stabilization practices incorporating organic materials to produce functional structures, provide wildlife habitat, and provide areas for revegetation. Examples of biotechnical practices include, but are not limited to: a) adequately sized riprap or A-Jack structures keyed into the toe of the slope with native plantings on the banks above; b) vegetated geogrids; c) coconut fiber (coir) logs; d) live, woody vegetative cuttings, fascines or stumps; e) brush layering; and f) soil lifts.
2. Projects that involve the use of structural bank stabilization practices, such as riprap, gabions, lunker boxes, steel sheet piling, or fabric-formed concrete will be processed under Category I and shall not exceed a total length of 500 feet. Riprap materials shall not be placed at a steeper slope than 2:1 (2 horizontal to 1 vertical) for dumped riprap, and 1.5:1 for hand placed riprap. Should broken concrete be used as riprap, all reinforcing rods shall be cut flush with the surface of the concrete.

The following items apply to all authorizations under RP10:

- a. Projects that involve replacement of currently functional bank stabilization structures or practices will be processed under Category I, provided that the new practice includes only minor deviations in the structure's configuration or footprint such as those due to changes in materials, construction techniques, or current construction codes or safety standards which are necessary to implement the repair.
- b. Structural and vegetative/biotechnical practices may be combined, but in no case shall structural practices exceed 500 feet in total length.
- c. Bioengineering practices may be constructed in HQARs under Category II.
- d. Structural practices are not authorized in HQARs under this Regional Permit.
- e. Bank stabilization shall conform to the existing shoreline and may not be used to reclaim land lost to erosion.
- f. No more than one (1) cubic yard per running foot of material shall be used as backfill behind structures.
- g. Temporary construction activities, including access roads and cofferdams, are not authorized under this Regional Permit.
- h. In-stream work to be performed below the toe of the slope beyond the minimum necessary is not authorized under this Regional Permit, except in those instances where additional materials are required in order to maintain the structural integrity of the proposed design.
- i. This RP shall not be used to fill in boat slips.
- j. Projects along the shoreline of Lake Michigan, or within a ravine system tributary to Lake Michigan, will not be processed under this RP.

11. MARINE STRUCTURES AND ACTIVITIES

RP11 authorizes the installation, repair and modification of piers, boat docks (non-commercial only), boat ramps, boat hoists and lifts (including roof coverings), navigational and mooring aids, and temporary recreational structures. This RP also authorizes temporary structures or minor discharges of dredged or fill material necessary for the removal of vessels (wrecked, abandoned or disabled) or for the removal of man-made obstructions to navigation and the installation, repair and modification of shore protection along Lake Michigan.

Certain limitations exist for the use of this RP within the Fox River Chain of Lakes waterway system, in accordance with the May 12, 2000 Fox River-Chain O'Lakes Boat Pier and Boat Ramp Application Review Policy (www.lrc.usace.army.mil/Portals/36/docs/regulatory/pdf/pierpolicy.pdf).

Authorization under RP11 is subject to the following requirements which shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification:

- a. All marine structures and activities, except for the installation of boat ramps and shore protection along Lake Michigan will be processed under Category I.
- b. The installation of boat ramps and shore protection along Lake Michigan will be processed under Category II.
- c. Boat piers/docks shall be constructed in accordance with the following conditions and limitations:
 - 1) The pier/dock shall not project more the 50 feet into a waterway (up to 100 feet if located in a shallow water area of the Fox Chain-of-Lakes system). The pier/dock shall be the minimum length necessary to reach suitable water depth. The boat pier/dock width shall not be greater than one quarter of the width of the waterway and shall not extend beyond the navigation limits established by the Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Office of Water Resources (IDNR/OWR) and the District.
 - 2) The width of the pier/dock shall not be greater than 10 feet.
 - 3) For L-shaped or T-shaped piers/docks, the length of that portion parallel to the shoreline shall not exceed 50 percent of the landowner's shoreline frontage, nor 50 feet.
 - 4) Piers/docks shall be aligned so as not to cross the projection of property lines into the waterway or come within 10 feet of the projection of the property line.
 - 5) Pier/dock posts shall be marked with reflective devices. If the display of lights and signals on any structure or work authorized herein is not otherwise provided for by law, such lights and signals as may be prescribed by the U.S. Coast Guard shall be installed and maintained by and at the expense of the permittee.
 - 6) The boat pier/dock shall be securely anchored to prevent its detachment during times of high water, winds, or ice movement.
 - 7) Boat mooring buoys and pier/dock flotation units shall be constructed of materials that are clean and free of pollutants and will not become waterlogged or sink when punctured. Flotation units and devices shall be composed of low-density, closed cell, rigid plastic foam. Foam bead flotation will not be allowed unless commercially encapsulated and designed specifically for flotation purposes. Reconditioned plastic drums and metal barrels are allowed if they are first cleaned and filled with

flotation foam. Barrel, drums or containers that previously contained pesticide, herbicide or other hazardous substances are not allowed.

- 8) Non-floating boat piers/docks shall be constructed in a manner which will minimize obstruction to flow.
 - 9) If at any future date the IDNR/OWR or District determines that the pier/dock facility obstructs or impairs navigation, or in any way infringes on the rights or interests of the public or any individual party, the permittee agrees to make necessary modification to the pier/dock, as determined by IDNR/OWR or the District.
- d. Boat ramps shall not exceed 60 feet in width and shall be made of crushed stone, concrete, gravel or other suitable material. Boat ramps constructed of asphalt are not authorized under this Regional Permit. For projects that impact over 0.10 acres of waters of the U.S., the permittee is required to provide compensatory mitigation.
- e. Shore protection in Lake Michigan includes seawalls, revetments, and bulkheads (constructed of wood, concrete, riprap, gabions, steel or fabric-formed concrete) constructed at the existing water line and parallel to the shoreline orientation. Shore protection projects will address the following requirements:
- 1) Submittal of photographs representing the existing site conditions. The District may waive, on a case-by-case basis, the requirement to provide a complete wetland delineation.
 - 2) A detailed narrative defining a clear purpose and need for the proposed work.
 - 3) Baseline surveys of the existing shoreline.
 - 4) Plan views and cross-sections of all proposed work drawn to detail and provided on 8½” by 11” sheets.
 - 5) Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) clearly marked on the plans.
 - 6) The amount of fill (in cubic yards) to be placed below the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM is 581.5’) of the Lake (International Great Lakes Datum 1985).
 - 7) Shoreline structures shall be designed to withstand the expected wave forces of the Lake. Steepening of stone structure faces that include a stone toe design may be allowed on a case-by-case basis.
 - 8) Construction sequence describing how access to the site will be accomplished. Water-based access is limited to the use of barges for the transport of heavy equipment and construction materials.
 - 9) A contingency plan with a written narrative for the temporary “dig-in” and displacement of Lake substrate for access to the work area by barge.

Shore protection projects on Lake Michigan shall not:

- 1) Exceed 300 feet in length and 10 feet in width below the OHWM except in those instances where additional materials are required in order to maintain the structural integrity of the proposed design.
- 2) Occur within 200 feet of the mouth of any waterway that flows into or out of Lake Michigan.
- 3) Be used to reclaim land lost to erosion.
- 4) Extend further than existing structures on adjacent land, except in those instances where additional materials are required in order to maintain the structural integrity of the proposed design.

- 5) Involve dredging or filling beyond that required to install the shore protection.
- 6) Impede public access to the shoreline.
- f. For repair and/or modification of a marine structure, provide the date the structure was originally constructed and a copy of the Department of the Army permit for the structure (if one was granted). If the construction of the structure was not authorized by the District, an after-the-fact authorization shall be sought.
- g. Temporary construction activities, including access roads and cofferdams, are not authorized under this Regional Permit.
- h. The construction of multi-user pier and docking facilities and related appurtenances within Section 10 waters are not authorized under the RPP.

12. BRIDGE SCOUR PROTECTION

RP12 authorizes the construction and installation of protective armoring at existing bridge foundations, abutments and/or around bridge piers of "Scour Critical Bridges" as designated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). Authorization under RP12 is subject to the following requirements which shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification:

- a. All projects will be processed under Category I.
- b. Protective armoring may include riprap, broken concrete, formed concrete pieces, concrete filled fabric mats, gabions, or other engineered designs consistent with reasonable engineering standards. Should broken concrete be used, all reinforcing rods shall be cut flush with the surface of the concrete. The protective armoring may extend riverward of the adjacent shoreline or any adjacent existing seawalls, gabion structures, or riprap covered banks and may extend above the existing streambed up to the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) of the River. Material excavated for the construction of the protective armoring shall be disposed of in accordance with Federal, State and local laws and ordinances, and shall not be placed in a floodway or in any waters of the U.S., including wetlands.
- c. Temporary construction access may be obtained over the side of the bridge, by use of temporary roads or pads constructed of clean fill, by use of mats, or from barges or floating platforms. All material used for temporary access shall be removed from the site immediately upon completion of work in any segment of the project. All portions of the site shall be restored to preconstruction conditions.
- d. All temporary construction activities shall adhere to the requirements of items c through i of Regional Permit 7 (Temporary Construction Activities) and shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification.
- e. Projects in special aquatic sites (e.g., wetlands, mud flats, vegetated shallows, and riffle and pool complexes, etc.) shall be conducted with no more than minimal adverse environmental effects.
- f. All designated work area(s) shall be drawn onto the submitted construction plans and clearly labeled.
- g. If, in the determination of the District, the protective armoring may constitute an undue hazard, obstruction to navigation, or if it is deemed that the project may not be in the public interest, an Individual Permit may be required.

13. CLEANUP OF TOXIC AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

RP13 authorizes specific activities that effect the containment, stabilization or removal of toxic or hazardous materials or petroleum products that are performed, ordered, sponsored or approved by the Illinois EPA Bureau of Land (BOL). RP13 is subject to the following requirements which shall be addressed in writing and submitted with the notification:

- a. All projects will be processed under Category II.
- b. This permit does not authorize the establishment of new disposal sites or the expansion of existing disposal sites.
- c. Activities undertaken entirely on a site by authority of CERCLA, as approved or required by the EPA, do not require authorization from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- d. Evidence that an activity is performed, ordered, sponsored or approved by the Illinois EPA BOL.
- e. Compensatory mitigation is required for any cleanup that adversely impacts more than 0.10 acres of waters of the U.S.
- f. Temporary construction activities, including access roads and cofferdams, are not authorized under this Regional Permit.
- g. Section 401 water quality certification is authorized for RP13 subject to the following condition:

In addition to any action required of the Regional Permit 13 (Cleanup of Toxic and Hazardous Materials Projects) with respect to the "Notification" General Condition 22, the applicant shall notify the Illinois EPA Bureau of Water of the specific activity. This notification shall include information concerning the orders and approvals that have been or will be obtained from the Illinois EPA Bureau of Land (BOL) for all cleanup activities under BOL jurisdiction, or for which authorization or approval is sought from BOL for no further remediation. This Regional Permit is not valid for activities that do not require or will not receive authorization or approval from the BOL.

REGIONAL PERMIT PROGRAM ACTIVITY CATEGORIES

	CATEGORY I	CATEGORY II *
1. Residential, Commercial and Institutional Developments	Activity impacts no more than 0.5 acres of waters of the U.S. and does not impact a high-quality aquatic resource.	Activity impacts over 0.5 and up to 1.0 acre of waters of the U.S. including impacts to a high-quality aquatic resource.
2. Recreation Projects	Activity impacts no more than 0.5 acres of waters of the U.S. and does not impact a high-quality aquatic resource.	Activity impacts over 0.5 and up to 1.0 acre of waters of the U.S. including impacts to a high-quality aquatic resource.
3. Transportation Projects	Activity impacts no more than 0.25 acres for any single crossing. For projects that involve multiple crossings of waters of the U.S., the cumulative impact cannot exceed 0.5 acres of the U.S. and does not impact a high-quality aquatic resource.	Activity impacts no more than 0.25 acres for any single crossing, or impact a high-quality resource. For projects that involve multiple crossings of waters of the U.S., the cumulative impact cannot exceed 1.0 acre of waters of the U.S.
4. Minor Discharges and Dredging	Activities including discharges and/or dredging shall not exceed 25 cubic yards and impact no more than 0.25 acres of waters of the U.S. and does not impact a high-quality resource.	Activity impacts no more than 0.25 acres of waters of the U.S. including impacts to a high-quality aquatic resource.
5. Wetland and Stream Restoration and Enhancement	All activities.	N/A
6. Completed Enforcement Actions	All judicial and non-judicial settlements.	N/A
7. Temporary Construction Activities	All activities.	N/A
8. Utility Line Projects	Activities that impact no more than 0.5 acres of waters of the U.S. and do not impact a high-quality aquatic resource.	Activities that impact between 0.5 acres and 1.0 acre of waters of the U.S., or impact a high-quality aquatic resource.
9. Maintenance	All activities	N/A
10. Bank Stabilization	Activities in waters of the U.S. that are not high-quality aquatic resources.	Activities in waters of the U.S. that are high-quality aquatic resources.
11. Marine Structures and Activities	All activities except installation of boat ramps and Lake Michigan shoreline protection.	Installation of boat ramps and Lake Michigan shoreline protection.
12. Bridge Scour Protection	All activities	N/A
13. Cleanup of Toxic and Hazardous Materials	N/A	All activities

* The District has the discretion to process any activity under Category II or under Individual Permit procedures where it has concerns for the aquatic resource.

NOTE: THIS TABLE IS A SUMMARY, DO NOT RELY ON THIS TABLE ALONE, PLEASE REFER TO THE FULL REGIONAL PERMIT PROGRAM DOCUMENT FOR DETAILED INFORMATION ON EACH REGIONAL PERMIT.

APPENDIX A: HIGH-QUALITY AQUATIC RESOURCES

The following descriptions of high-quality aquatic resources apply to the Illinois portions of the Chicago District only. This list is to be used as a guideline for identifying high quality resources in the six-county region, and is not all-inclusive.

Advanced Identification (ADID) Sites: Aquatic sites that have been previously identified by the District and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency as areas generally unsuitable for disposal of dredged or fill material. ADID sites include various waters of the U.S., including wetlands, identified in Kane, Lake and McHenry Counties.

Bog: A low nutrient peatland, usually in a glacial depression, that is acidic in the surface stratum. Bogs can have non-flowering or very slow flowering water and their water levels fluctuate seasonally. Characteristic bog species include sphagnum (*Sphagnum spp.*), sundew (*Drosera spp.*), pitcher plant (*Sarracenia purpurea*), leatherleaf (*Chamaedaphne calyculata*), poison sumac (*Rhus vernix*), large cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon*), dwarf birch (*Betula pumila*), and tamarack (*Larix laricina*).

Ephemeral Wetlands: A seasonally inundated depression within a forest, savannah, or prairie usually located on a moraine, glacial outwash plain or in an area shallow to bedrock; also known locally as a “seasonal pond” or a “vernal pool.” These areas may not be permanently vegetated.

Dune and Swale Complex: Areas usually oriented parallel to the Lake Michigan shoreline and typified by sandy, linear, upland ridges alternating with low-relief wetland created over time during changes in the Lake Michigan’s water levels. Black oak (*Quercus velutina*), paper birch (*Betula papyrifera*), jack pine (*Pinus banksiana*), and prairie vegetation typically occur on the ridges and sedges, reeds, and marsh/aquatic vegetation are found in the swales. Dune and swale complexes are restricted to areas near Lake Michigan.

Fen: An alkaline or calcareous, ground water-fed wetland. Fens are often a mosaic of grassy areas, sedgy areas, graminoid-shrub areas, and tall shrub areas. Typical plant species found within these mosaics include fen star sedge (*Carex sterilis*), swamp thistle (*Cirsium muticum*), red-osier dogwood (*Cornus stolonifera*), brook lobelia (*Lobelia kalmii*), wild timothy (*Muhlenbergia glomerata*), grass of Parnassus (*Parnassia glauca*), shrubby cinquefoil (*Potentilla fruticosa*), and Ohio goldenrod (*Solidago ohioensis*). Fens can also be forested, with indicative tree species being eastern white cedar (*Thuja occidentalis*), yellow birch (*Betula alleghaniensis*), and black ash (*Fraxinus nigra*). Fens typically have a muck or peat substrate.

Forested Wetland: A wetland dominated by at least one of the following native trees: red maple (*Acer rubrum*), kingnut hickory (*Carya laciniosa*), black ash (*Fraxinus nigra*), red ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), black gum (*Nyssa sylvatica*), white oak (*Quercus alba*), swamp white oak (*Quercus bicolor*), bur oak (*Quercus macrocarpa*), pin oak (*Quercus palustris*), eastern white cedar (*Thuja occidentalis*), river birch (*Betula nigra*), yellow birch (*Betula alleghaniensis*), and slippery elm (*Ulmus rubra*).

Sedge Meadow: An herbaceous wetland typically dominated by one or more of the following graminoid genera, such as *Calamagrostis*, *Cladium*, *Cyperus*, *Deschampsia*, *Eleocharis*, *Eriophorum*, *Juncus*, *Rhynchospora*, *Scleria*, and *Carex*. Sedge meadows can be found along stream and lake margins or within river floodplains and upland depressions.

Seep: A spring- or groundwater-fed herbaceous or thinly wooded wetland with saturated soil or inundation resulting from the diffuse flow of groundwater to the surface stratum. Often times seep wetlands are situated on or near the base of a slope. Characteristic seep wetland species include marsh marigold (*Caltha palustris*) and skunk cabbage (*Symplocarpus foetidus*).

Streams rated A or B for Diversity or Integrity, or mapped as Biologically Significant: as described in the Integrating Multiple Taxa in a Biological Stream Rating System published by the Illinois Department of Natural Resources.

Wet Prairie: A wetland dominated by native graminoid species but with abundant forbs. Wet prairies often remain saturated throughout the growing season which is sometimes due to a high water table. Species found in a high quality wet prairie are dominated by at least one of the following species: big shining aster (*Aster puniceus firmus*), bluejoint (*Calamagrostis canadensis*), tall coreopsis (*Coreopsis tripteris*), rattlesnake master (*Eryngium yuccifolium*), marsh blazing star (*Liatris spicata*), narrow-leaved loosestrife (*Lysimachia quadriflora*), small sundrops (*Oenothera perennis*), prairie sundrops (*Oenothera pilosella*), cowbane (*Oxypolis rigidior*), marsh phlox (*Phlox glaberrima* var. *interior*), and prairie cord grass (*Spartina pectinata*).

Wetlands Supporting Federal or Illinois Endangered or Threatened Species: For current State-listed species, reference Illinois Endangered Species Protection Board's "Checklist of Endangered and Threatened Animals and Plants of Illinois" (found at <http://www.dnr.illinois.gov/ESPB/>) and/or contact the Illinois Department of Natural Resources. For Federally-listed species, reference the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's "Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants" list (latest edition, www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/lists/illinois-cty.html) and/or contact the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Wetlands with a Floristic Quality Index of 20 or greater or a Mean C-Value of 3.5 or greater: Reference Plants of the Chicago Region (F. Swink and G. Wilhelm, 4th edition, Indianapolis: Indiana Academy of Science, 1994).

Further information on the areas described above can be found in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Advanced Identification studies for Kane, Lake and McHenry Counties (www.lrc.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/Illinois/ADIDMaps.aspx), the Chicago Wilderness' Biodiversity Recovery Plan (http://www.chicagowilderness.org/files/3413/3034/7640/biodiversity_recovery_plan.pdf), Swink and Wilhelm's Plants of the Chicago Region, and the Integrating Multiple Taxa in a Biological Stream Rating System published by IDNR (<http://www.dnr.illinois.gov/conservation/BiologicalStreamratings/>).